



(A Govt. of Maharashtra Undertaking)
CIN: U40109MH2005SGC153645

Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.
Office of the Chief Engineer (Commercial)
“Prakashgad”, 5th Floor, Station Road, Bandra (E),
Mumbai - 400 051.
Tel.: 022-69852200
Website : www.mahadiscom.in



Addendum- 5

Dated 07.08.2026

(RfS No. MSEDCL/BESS/Phase-2/26-27/001/dated 30/06/2026)

Revised RFS Version 2 dated 31.07.2026

The following addendums are issued to the tender.

1. The pre-bid meeting of MSEDCL tender for setting up 2000 MW/4000 MWh Battery Energy Storage Systems under tariff based competitive Bidding was held on 05.08.2026 through the Online Mode. The Pre-Bid queries replies are enclosed.
2. The revised annexure E-2 enclosed.

Date: 07/08/2026

Sd/-
Chief Engineer (Commercial)
MSEDCL, Prakashgad,
Mumbai
Tel:022-69852200

Reply to the Pre-bid Queries in r/o RfS No. MSEDCL/BESS/Phase-2/26-27/001/dated 30/06/2026 (Pre-bid meeting held on 05.08.2026)

Document	Clause NO	Existing Clause	Proposed Modification /Bidder,s Query	MSEDCL Reply
RfS v2	9.5	Taking into consideration capacity degradation, the minimum Dispatchable energy to be made available by the BESSD at the end of a given year shall be as follows:	Kindly confirm how energy shall be scheduled in subsequent year at 25MW fixed power or fixed at 2 hours at recused power. Eg at the end of 5th year for 25MW,50MWh required capacity is 45MWh , so energy scheduled shall be 22.5MW for 2 Hrs , or 25Mw for 1.8Hours.	Duration of 2 hours is fixed.
RfS v2	10	The BESSD at its own risk and cost, will be required to arrange for the charging and discharging of power for carrying out operational/ functional test prior to commercial operation as well as for commissioning of the Project. If the charging power is provided by MSEDCL, the tariff applicable for charging prior to the Commercial Operation Date (COD) of the BESS shall be as per the prevailing tariff determined by MERC.	Power required for all the functional test, commissioning test, annual capacity test shall be provided by MSEDCL.	No change. Refer clause No. 3.4 of RfS version 2. Charging power is in MSEDCL scope.
RfS v2	41. Financial Bid Evaluation (Step 2)	41.4 Effective Capacity Charges Calculation considering guaranteed RTE:"Baseline RTE = 85% If the guaranteed RTE is more than baseline RTE then the Effective Capacity Charges will be reduced accordingly. ☐ If bidder doesn't declare guaranteed RTE, then it will be presumed that RTE is 85%"	We request MSEDCL to kindly clarify whether the guaranteed RTE may be declared based on the respective grid interconnection voltage level. Alternatively, we request consideration of voltage-level-wise or project-specific guaranteed RTE values, instead of a uniform baseline applicable to all projects. This would ensure a more realistic evaluation, considering the inherent technical losses associated with different interconnection voltages.	No Change. As per RfS version 2.
RfS v2	5.1.4	For the successful achievement of Commissioning/Commercial Operation Date (COD), the bidder must demonstrate the continuous charging and discharging of the full contracted or commissioned capacity for three consecutive days. This requires the completion of one full charge-discharge cycle per day.Further, it is clarified that bidder has to supply required energy for charging till COD/UCOD is achieved. MSEDCL will provide charging power only after COD/UCOD.	Request MSEDCL to provide charging power during commissioing.	No Change. As per RfS version 2 clause 3.4.
RfS v2	6.1.5	BESSD has to oversize the PCS to comply with CERC Regulations which states that the BESS bas to deliver the rated active power at 0.95 leading or 0.95 lagging power factor and 0.95 voltage drop.	Can SVG be provided instead of oversizing the PCS.	No change. As per RfS version 2
RfS v2	6.1.6	Auxiliary power will be in the scope of BESSD. The BESSD should take separate auxiliary connection for auxiliary consumption.The charges for auxiliary consumption shall be borne by the BESSD. For InSTS-connected BESS Projects, theapplicable tariff shall be as determined by MERC from time to time. For ISTS connected BESS Projects, the applicable tariff shall be as determined by the concerned SERC having jurisdiction over the Project location from time to time.	Kindly confirm if any 33kV bay in existing Substation can be allocated to BESSD for drawing auxiliary power.	No.
RfS v2	6.1.6	The BESSD shall take separate, metered connection for the Auxiliary Power load of BESS.	Request you to allow drawing power from the interconnection point for the auxiliary.Additionally necessary adjustments shall be required in RTE & Availability calculations to exclude auxiliary power drawn from interconnection point.	Pl. refer clause 9. Auxiliary Consumption will be excluded from RTE calculations.
RfS v2	8.1.c	c) The minimum voltage level for interconnection shall be 33 kV and above as applicable, or as specified by the concerned STU/DISCOM in accordance with applicable Central/State Grid Code or SERC/CERC regulations as applicable. d) The BESSD shall be responsible for: •Constructionof transmission infrastructure fromthe Project up to the Interconnection Point, •Erection of bay and associated equipment, •Synchronization and metering, •All applicable charges (SLDC fees, wheeling, losses, etc.).	Kindly confirm the interconnection voltage exactly if it will be fixed to 33kV or higher as it will impact the project cost.Also share transmission line length from land till interocnnection point.	No change. As per RfS version 2. pl. refer Annexure E1 & E2.

Reply to the Pre-bid Queries in r/o RfS No. MSEDCL/BESS/Phase-2/26-27/001/dated 30/06/2026 (Pre-bid meeting held on 05.08.2026)

Document	Clause NO	Existing Clause	Proposed Modification /Bidder,s Query	MSEDCL Reply
RfS v2	8.1.II.b)	b)In case of InSTS-connected BESS Projects, the Delivery Point, Interconnection Point, and Metering Point shall be at the STU periphery. In case of ISTS-connected BESS Projects, the Delivery Point shall be at the STU periphery, whereas the Interconnection Point shall be at the nearest CTU-connected substation at which the BESS Project is interconnected.	Kindly confirm if any specific ISTS losses to be considered from CTU to STU for interstate projects.	No change. As per RfS version 2. All the losses & applicable charges upto delivery point are in the BESSD scope.
RfS v2	9.1.4.b.	Auxiliary power will be in the scope of BESSD.	1. Kindly confirm if auxiliary can be drawn from the point of interconnection 2. Kindly confirm below in case auxiliary drawl from point of interconnection is allowed , During BESS discharge ,lets say 25 MW, and auxiliary power requirement is 1 MW , the energy metered at metering point will be 25MW- Auxiliary power 1MW which will be equal to 24MW, for the calculation of DSM & performance measurement , availability calculation ,this shall be corrected as 24MW discharge +1 MW Auxiliary =25MW power scheduled and no unavailability shall be considered.	Pl. refer clause 9. Auxiliary Consumption will be excluded from RTE calculations.
RfS v2	3	Scope of Work:"The total project scope of 2000 MW / 4000 MWh is further divided as under Part-A The BESS of capacity 500 MW / 1000 MWh to be setup at 33/11 kV MSEDCL substations, with each BESS unit of 10 MW/20 MWh. This allocation shall be available only for the developers under the MSKVY 2.0 scheme."	We respectfully request MSEDCL to reconsider and remove the restriction limiting participation to developers under the MSKVY 2.0 Scheme. Allowing participation from other technically qualified and experienced BESS developers/EPC companies would enhance competition, attract wider industry participation, and potentially result in more competitive pricing and efficient project execution. Accordingly, we request that all bidders meeting the prescribed technical and financial qualification criteria be permitted to participate in Part-A, irrespective of their association with the MSKVY 2.0 Scheme.	No change. As per RfS version 2
RfS v2	Annexure E-2	List of Projects having PPA with MSEDCL proposed for Co-located BESS.	It is observed that ReNew Solar Power Private Limited's 250 MW ISTS-connected solar project at Bikaner, Rajasthan, connected to the 765/400/220 kV POWERGRID Bikaner Substation and having a valid PPA with MSEDCL (COD: 27.10.2019), has not been included in Annexure E-2. It is requested to include this project in Annexure E-2 and permit installation of co-located BESS under this tender.	Yes. Revised list of locations for Part B(ii) will be shared.
RfS v2	Annexure F	Annexure F-Project Location details-Shall be shared with successful bidder	Request MSEDCL to share Land , substation Layout and mark the bay allocated to the bidder for connection	Project Locations for Part-B is already shared. Pl. refer Annexure E-1 & E-2.
RfS v2	Annexure-A 9 e.	BESS shall operate in a manner to promote the power system reliability and improve the power quality. When power quality problems, such as voltage dip, flicker, unsatisfactory power factor, etc., occur in power system, the BESS could eliminate these problems by flexible active and reactive power output in this function.	Kindly clarify that no reactive power charges shall be applicable when the BESS is operating in Voltage Control Mode for grid support , and that reactive power charges, if any, shall apply only when the plant is intentionally operated in Power Factor Control Mode .	No change. As per RfS version 2
BESPA	BESPA 9.1	The BESSD shall be entitled to receive the Tariff of INR ____/MW/Month fixed for the entire term of this Agreement, with effect from commissioning of Project or part thereof from the SCD, the Contracted Capacity made available to the MSEDCL during BESPA Period, as per the provisions of this agreement & as per the measurement at the Main ABT meter at the point of interconnection/as certified in the SEA by Maharashtra SLDC/NLDC/RLDC/Regional Power Committee as applicable. Monthly Capacity Charges will be calculated using the average actual discharged or exported capacity during the billing month.	Kindly clarify: If the BESS is available but MSEDCL does not schedule the discharging power, capacity charges shall be calculated based on the available contracted capacity. 2. However, if MSEDCL issues a dispatch schedule and the BESS Developer (BESSD) fails to schedule/deliver that requested capacity, will the capacity charges for that period be calculated based on the actual discharged capacity?	No change. As per RfS version 2. Monthly capcity charges are linked to actual energy dispatched to MSEDCL Any performance parameters or capacity charge calculations will exclude factors and downtime directly attributed to MSEDCL

Reply to the Pre-bid Queries in r/o RfS No. MSEDCL/BESS/Phase-2/26-27/001/dated 30/06/2026 (Pre-bid meeting held on 05.08.2026)

Document	Clause NO	Existing Clause	Proposed Modification /Bidder,s Query	MSEDCL Reply
RfS v2	BESPA Clause 9.1 read with pre-bid reply Sr. 56/112/120	Pre-bid reply states: 'Monthly capacity charges are linked to actual energy discharged to MSEDCL.'	The RfS provides for procurement in MW terms with a fixed capacity charge (Rs/MW/month) against declared availability. Kindly clarify the exact computation of monthly payment where the BESS is fully available but MSEDCL schedules NO or PARTIAL discharge in a month: (a) will full capacity charges be payable on availability basis; or (b) will charges be reduced pro-rata to actual energy discharged? If (b), kindly specify the formula, since non-dispatch is beyond the BESSD's control and this materially impacts bankability and tariff.	No change. As per RfS version 2. Monthly capacity charges are linked to actual energy dispatched to MSEDCL. Any performance parameters or capacity charge calculations will exclude factors and downtime directly attributed to MSEDCL.
RfS v2	Clause 10 (Commissioning) & pre-bid reply Sr. 18	'Any energy discharged during the trial period prior to UCOD/COD shall be delivered to MSEDCL free of cost. No payment shall be made to the BESSD for the energy discharged before UCOD/COD.'	Kindly clarify who arranges and bears the cost of CHARGING energy for pre-COD testing, trial runs and the 3-consecutive-day commissioning demonstration: (a) will MSEDCL supply charging energy for the trial (and at what tariff), or must the BESSD procure it through open access; and (b) since trial discharge energy is delivered free to MSEDCL, will the corresponding charging energy also be provided free of cost?	No change. Refer clause No. 3.4 of RfS version 2. Charging power is in MSEDCL scope.
RfS v2	Clause 20.1 & 30 (EMD submission)	EMD in the form of e-BG/POI/ISB; hard copy to reach MSEDCL until 2 working days after the closing date of bid submission.	Since e-BG (via NeSL/SFMS) and Insurance Surety Bonds are issued digitally, kindly confirm the exact documents required in 'hard copy' for each instrument type (e-BG/POI/ISB), and that SFMS/NeSL transmission before the bid deadline satisfies the issuance requirement.	No change. As per RfS version 2.
RfS v2	Clause 3.1	The BESSD shall provide contractual right to use BESS for at least 6300 cycles during the contract period without any additional cost to MSEDCL.	At one cycle per day, 6300 cycles correspond to approximately 17.3 years of operation whereas the contract tenure is 15 years. It is requested to increase the contract tenure in line with the specified cycle requirement or suitably align the guaranteed cycle requirement with the contract tenure.	No change. As per RfS version 2.
RfS v2	Clause 3.2	Part-B: BESS projects as Co-located (ISTS/InSTS).	Since STU-connected and CTU/ISTS-connected projects have different interconnection arrangements, evacuation infrastructure and cost structures, it is requested to carve out CTU/ISTS-connected projects into a separate Part-C with separate capacity allocation and tariff discovery.	No change. As per RfS version 2.
RfS v2	Clause 3.2 Part-B(ii) / Annexure E-2 (Co-located BESS)	825 MW/1,650 MWh to be set up as co-located with solar projects (ISTS/InSTS) having PPA with MSEDCL as specified in Annexure E-2.	Kindly clarify for co-located projects: (a) whether any commercial arrangement/consent of the host solar developer is required, or the BESS is developed independently within/near the listed site; (b) the metering and energy-accounting interface between the solar plant and BESS (separate ABT metering); (c) for the 3 inter-state (Rajasthan) sites, confirm MSEDCL bears ISTS charges and losses for charging and discharging up to the Delivery Point at CTU periphery; and (d) the maximum BESS capacity allottable per site listed in Annexure E-2.	No change. As per RfS version 2. No commercial arrangement/consent of the host solar developer is mandatory. If any such consent becomes necessary for project establishment, it is the sole responsibility of the BESSD.
RfS v2	Clause 3.2, 4.1.1, 5.1.1 & 7.1 (Part-A)	Part-A: BESS of 500 MW/1,000 MWh at 33/11 kV MSEDCL substations. 'This allocation shall be available only for the developers under the MSKVY 2.0 scheme. Bidders who have already received Letter of Award under any of the tenders issued under MSKVY 2.0 shall be eligible to participate for the part-A... subject to: COD has been achieved for minimum 50% of aggregate awarded capacity in MSKVY 2.0 Scheme.'	Kindly confirm whether participation under Part-A is restricted EXCLUSIVELY to bidders holding LOA/PPA with MSEDCL under the MSKVY 2.0 scheme (with minimum 50% COD of aggregate awarded capacity), or whether any other eligible bidder (not having MSKVY 2.0 LOA/PPA) may also participate under Part-A. Further: (a) whether the 50% COD condition applies on the aggregate of ALL MSKVY 2.0 awards of the bidder or per individual tender/LOA; (b) whether the MSKVY 2.0 LOA/PPA held by a Parent/Affiliate/Group Company of the bidding entity would qualify the bidder; and (c) whether an SPV of an MSKVY 2.0 developer may bid.	No change. As per RfS version 2.

Reply to the Pre-bid Queries in r/o RfS No. MSEDCL/BESS/Phase-2/26-27/001/dated 30/06/2026 (Pre-bid meeting held on 05.08.2026)

Document	Clause NO	Existing Clause	Proposed Modification /Bidder,s Query	MSEDCL Reply
RfS v2	Clause 37.2	New Clause to be added: It is mandatory that Bidders possess prior experience operating Thermal and/or Renewable Power Plants with an installed capacity of 150 MW or above to be eligible to participate in the bidding process	It is mandatory that Bidders possess prior experience operating Thermal and/or Renewable Power Plants with an installed capacity of 150 MW or above to be eligible to participate in the bidding process	No change. As per RfS version 2
RfS v2	Clause 38.1(a)	Net worth: Part-A Rs 30 lakh/MW; Part-B Rs 70 lakh/MW. However, the illustration in the same clause still reads 'for a 500 MWh (250 MW x 2 hrs) project capacity, the minimum Net Worth requirement to be demonstrated shall be Rs. 70 lakh x 250 MW.'	Kindly confirm the illustration is indicative for Part-B only, and that where a bidder quotes capacity under both Parts, the net-worth requirement will be computed additively (30 lakh/MW for Part-A quantum + 70 lakh/MW for Part-B quantum) on total quoted capacity.	No change. As per RfS version 2. Illustration given is for example only.
RfS v2	Clause 4.1.4	For Part B, the minimum bid capacity shall be 50 MW/100 MWh... The minimum incremental bid capacity shall be 50 MW/100 MWh.	It is requested to retain the minimum bid capacity at 50 MW/100 MWh while revising the incremental bid capacity to 10 MW/20 MWh (or multiples thereof) to enable better capacity optimization.	No change. As per RfS version 2
RfS v2	Clause 42.1(e) vs Annexure-C (e-RA parameter sheet)	Clause 42.1(e): auto-extension by 8 minutes if a fresh bid is received in the last 8 minutes. Annexure-C parameter table: 'Pre-defined Time-Duration: 05 Minutes; Automatic extension Time-Duration: 05 Minutes; Maximum number of Auto-Extension: Unlimited.'	Kindly confirm which auto-extension window (8 minutes or 5 minutes) shall prevail for the e-Reverse Auction.	e-RA auto-extension period will be 8 minutes.
RfS v2	Clause 43.4	Project capacity under each Part shall be awarded only to those bidders who match the respective L1 effective capacity charges of that Part.	As projects differ in size, location, STU/CTU interconnection and associated costs, it is requested to remove the L1 matching provision and award capacity based on the final tariffs discovered through the e-Reverse Auction in ascending order until the tendered capacity is exhausted.	No change. As per RfS version 2
RfS v2	Clause 43.9 read with Clause 3.2	'If after reverse auction, full capacity is not awarded in any of the category i.e. Part A or Part B then MSEDCL reserves right to allocate balance capacity in other category.'	Since Part-A is reserved for MSKVY 2.0 developers, kindly clarify how the cross-category re-allocation under Clause 43.9 will operate: (a) can unsubscribed Part-A capacity be awarded to Part-B bidders (who are not MSKVY 2.0 developers); and (b) can unsubscribed Part-B capacity be awarded to Part-A (MSKVY 2.0) bidders at their L1 tariff?	No change. As per RfS version 2
RfS v2	Clause 44(I) (Site selection)	Site selection preference to Rank-1 L1 bidder based on final e-RA timestamp; remaining sites offered in timestamp order.	Kindly clarify the site-selection procedure: (a) timeline and mode (online/physical) of site selection after e-RA; (b) whether a bidder may select sites partially across Annexure E-1 and E-2 lists (for Part-B); and (c) treatment where the capacity at preferred sites is less than the bidder's awarded capacity.	No change. As per RfS version 2. timeline and mode (online/physical) of site selection after e-RA will be intimated to successful bidders.
RfS v2	Clause 7.2.a	The General Arrangement (GA) layouts and single line diagrams (SLD) of the MSEDCL/MSETCL sub-stations will be provided to the successful bidders, clearly showing the BESS area at the time of LOA.	The General Arrangement (GA) layouts and single line diagrams (SLD) of the MSEDCL/MSETCL sub-stations will be provided to the successful bidders, clearly showing the BESS area at the time of LOA before Bid submission	No change. As per RfS version 2
RfS v2	Clause 8.1.1/8.1.2 (Connectivity assurance)	'MSEDCL shall only provide connectivity assurance, and the responsibility for obtaining and establishing the actual grid connectivity shall rest with the BESS Developer. Only the space required for erection of bay will be provided.'	Kindly define the scope of 'connectivity assurance'. In the event connectivity, bay space or evacuation margin is NOT available at the allotted substation for reasons not attributable to the BESSD (system constraints, pending augmentation by MSEDCL/MSETCL), kindly confirm: (a) an alternate substation of equivalent capacity will be allotted; and (b) corresponding extension in the connectivity application timeline (30 days from LOA), financial closure and SCD will be granted without liability on the BESSD.	No change. As per RfS version 2. Space for erection of Bay will be provided for location under Part A (MSEDCL substations & Part B(1)(MSETCL Substations.)). Rest of the work is in BESSD scope.

Reply to the Pre-bid Queries in r/o RfS No. MSEDCL/BESS/Phase-2/26-27/001/dated 30/06/2026 (Pre-bid meeting held on 05.08.2026)

Document	Clause NO	Existing Clause	Proposed Modification /Bidder,s Query	MSEDCL Reply
RfS v2	Clause 8.2	Necessary applications for grant of connectivity will be required to be made by the BESSD.	Necessary applications for grant of connectivity will be required to be made by the MSEDCL. All the requisite costs associated with obtaining connectivity shall be borne by the MSEDCL.	No change. As per RfS version 2
RfS v2	Clause 9.1(4)	Auxiliary power will be in the scope of BESSD	The BESSD shall take separate, metered connection for the Auxiliary Power load of BESS. Cost of Auxiliary power shall free of cost and provided by MSEDCL.	No change. As per RfS version 2
RfS v2	Clause 9.1(e)7 & pre-bid reply Sr. 10/12 (multi-cycle operation)	MSEDCL may schedule more than 1 cycle/day at its discretion (within 6,300 cycles); cooling time of 1 hr between charge/discharge and max 2 hrs per cycle applies.	For days on which more than 1 cycle is scheduled, kindly confirm: (a) the maximum cycles that may be called in a day/month; (b) that the availability computation excludes the standard cooling/recovery periods between consecutive cycles; and (c) that the year-wise minimum dispatchable capacity table (Clause 9.1.e.5) remains unchanged irrespective of higher actual cycling.	No change. As per RfS version 2. No monthly or yearly capping.
RfS v2	Clause 9.1.e.(1)	The procurement shall be in power (MW) terms. The BESSD shall install, operate, and maintain the BESS to offer facility to MSEDCL to charge and discharge the BESS on an "on demand" basis. The BESSD shall guarantee a System Availability of minimum 95% on annual basis. Annual Average Availability. While considering Annual Average availability, only lesser than 95% or up to 95% Monthly Average Availability to be added to derive the Annual Average Availability. The illustration is provided as under.	The contractual requirement is to maintain 95% Annual Average Availability. Capping monthly availability at 95% prevents higher availability achieved in certain months from offsetting lower availability in other months, thereby defeating the purpose of an annual performance assessment	No change. As per RfS version 2
RfS v2	Clause 9.2 / BESPA 4.4.2 (Liquidated damages)	Availability LD = twice the capacity charges for capacity not made available; separate RfE LDs; no aggregate cap specified.	For bankability of a 15-year agreement, kindly consider specifying an annual cap on aggregate liquidated damages (availability + RfE), as is customary in comparable BESS/storage tenders of other state utilities and SECI, and confirm LDs for availability and RfE shall not be levied cumulatively for the same event/time-block.	No change. As per RfS version 2
RfS v2	Clause No. 3.2	Refers to COD of at least 50% of the awarded MSKVY 2.0 capacity, whereas the note refers to 50% physical progress. Please confirm the applicable criterion, cut-off date and certificate requirements.	2. Eligibility restriction: Please confirm whether participation is restricted only to MSKVY 2.0 solar developers. We request MSEDCL to consider opening the bid to all technically and financially qualified BESS developers, including consortiums/JVs with eligible technology partners.	No change. As per RfS version 2
RfS v2	Definition 32	"INTER-CONNECTION POINT" for interstate projects shall mean a single point at the CTU network, at the LV side of CTU substation, where the power from the Project(s) is injected into the grid (including the dedicated transmission line connecting the Projects with the substation system) as specified in the RfS.	Kindly clarify whether, for existing ISTS-connected renewable energy projects having an existing pooling substation and dedicated transmission system connected to the CTU substation, the proposed BESS may be interconnected at the existing project pooling substation (behind the existing CTU interconnection point) instead of establishing a separate interconnection directly at the CTU substation, while complying with all applicable Grid Code, metering, scheduling and energy accounting requirements.	No change. As per RfS version 2. Pl. refer (Annexure-XI of NLDC Operating Procedure 2025)
RfS v2	Format 7.3 E FORMAT OF INSURANCE SURETY BOND TOWARDS EMD	"MSEDCL in respect of any payment made hereunder. MSEDCL shall be entitled to invoke this Bond till _____ [Insert a date which is at least 12 months beyond the expiry of the validity period on the basis of Clause No. 20 of this RfS]. This Insurance Surety Bond shall not be affected in any manner by reason of merger, amalgamation, restructuring or any other change in the constitution of the Insurer."	We kindly request MSEDCL to clarify whether: (i) the Insurance Surety Bond is required to be issued with an additional validity of 12 months beyond the prescribed Bid Security/Performance Security validity period; or (ii) the above statement in the amended ISB format is a typographical/wording error, and the ISB validity should be aligned strictly with the validity period specified under the RfS. We request clarification to ensure submission of the ISB in the correct format and validity.	No change. As per RfS version 2

Reply to the Pre-bid Queries in r/o RfS No. MSEDCL/BESS/Phase-2/26-27/001/dated 30/06/2026 (Pre-bid meeting held on 05.08.2026)

Document	Clause NO	Existing Clause	Proposed Modification /Bidder,s Query	MSEDCL Reply
RfS v2	General Queries	Tender Document Processing Fee	The RfS specifies a Document Processing Fee of ₹15,00,000 plus applicable GST. Kindly clarify whether this fee is non-refundable or refundable under any circumstances, such as withdrawal or cancellation of the tender.	Refer Bid information Sheet as per RfS version 2. Tender processing fee is non-refundable,
RfS v2	Schedule A.	MSEDCL will schedule charging of the BESS with equal amount of energy plus energy expected to be lost as conversion losses (determined from the guaranteed Round-Trip Efficiency (RtE) of the system). Illustration: For a Project / Contracted Capacity of 150 MW/300 MWh, assuming an RtE of , 85% MSEDCL shall supply charging power to the tune of 352.94 MWh, to expect a discharge of 300 MWh as per the desired schedule.	If RTE>85% , in such case BESSD will not able to fully absorb the entire energy scheduled by MSEDCL for charging as actual energy required for charging the battery will be lesser than energy scheduled by MSEDCLKindly confirm if such event will be treated as unavailability	No change. As per RfS version 2. Charging power will be schedules considering Guaranteed RtE declared by bidder.
RfS v2	Schedule A.	Operational Window: Operational Window shall mean the expected hours/duration of system (capacity) availability on each day during the term of the Contract, excluding:	Request you to include major failure event such as power transformer /PCS transformer as an exclusion as these are long lead items.	No change. As per RfS version 2
RfS v2	Schedule A.2.ii	Availability of the Project shall mean the ability of the BESS to execute a function i.e. charging or discharging, when called upon to do so, as per the schedule or signal provided by the MSEDCL, subject to the minimum system ratings specified herein. In addition, the BESSD shall also demonstrate, on annual basis, 100% of the minimum dispatchable Capacity of the BESS as required as under	Kindly confirm if there is any penalty associated with non performance of 100% minimum dispatchable capacity demonstration.	No change. As per RfS version 2
RfS v2	SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION & INVITATION FOR BIDS Clause No. 8.7	Reactive power charges shall be on account of BESSD as applicable.	The RfS also requires the BESS to operate in a manner that enhances system reliability and power quality by dynamically providing or absorbing reactive power to mitigate voltage dip, voltage rise , flicker, and poor power factor. Since reactive power support in Voltage Control Mode is provided as a mandatory grid support function for maintaining grid stability and reliability, the reactive power exchanged under this operating mode should not attract reactive energy charges. However, where the BESS is operated in Power Factor Control Mode based on the owner's dispatch or operational requirements, the applicable reactive power charges may be considered.	No change. As per RfS version 2

Annexure E-2 (Revised)
List of Projects having PPA with MSEDCL proposed for Co-located BESS (Part B(ii))

Sr. No.	Name of location	District	Inter / Intra	Max. BESS Capacity in MW	Voltage Level in KV	Name of Substation
1	Koudgaon	Dharashiv	Intra	50	33	220/33 KV Osmanabad
2	Partur	Jalna	Intra	100	132	220/132/33 KV Parthur S/s
3	Shirpur,Arni	Yavatmal	Intra	80	132	132 KV Arni
4	Tighra,Malkapur	Buldhana	Intra	70	132	132 KV Malkapur S/s
5	Village Pangaon and Gheradi	Solapur	Intra	100	220	220 / 33 kV Vairag
6	Dhamangaon	Amravati	Intra	150	220	LILO between 220 kv Badnrea Dhamangaon
7	Village Kundi, Tal.Karanja	Wardha	Intra	75	220	220 / 132 /33 kv Karanja
8	Village-Indermari,Tal.Asti	Wardha	Intra	25	33	132 kV Talegaon
9	Village Deopimpri, Taluka- Georai	Beed	Intra	50	132	220/132 kV Georai
10	Chapalgaon,	Solapur	Intra	150	220	220 /132 / 33 kV Kumbhari
11	Village Achegaon,Tal.South Solapur	Solapur	Intra	150	220	220 /132 / 33 kV Kumbhari
12	Dondaicha Solar Park	Dhule	Intra	200	220	220 kV Dondaicha
13	Village Dategaon	Hingoli	Intra	100	220	220 kV Hingoli
Total Intrastate connected capacity in MW				1300		

Sr. No.	Name of location	State	Inter / Intra	Max. BESS Capacity in MW	Voltage Level in KV	Name of Substation
1	Village Rawra, Bhiv Ji Ka Gaon and Hanuwant Nagar, Tal.Bap, District Jodhpur	Rajasthan	Inter	200	220	765/400/220kV Bhadla Pooling Station
2	Chhayan-1, Tehsil Pokaran,,Jodhpur	Rajasthan	Inter	150	220	765/400/220kV Bhadla Pooling Station
3	Village Hari Singh Nagar and Bagtawar Nagar, Taluka Bap , Dist. Jodhpur	Rajasthan	Inter	130	220	765/400/220kV Bhadla Pooling Station
4	Village Lalsar and Jamsar, Tal. Bikaner, Dist. Bikaner, State –Rajasthan	Rajasthan	Inter	250	220	400 kV Bikaner S/s.-
5	Village Hari Singh Nagar, Akkadhana, Khetusar, Ranjeet Nagar, Bagada, Rawara and Ranari, Tehsil Bap , Dist. Jodhpur	Rajasthan	Inter	250	220	765/400/220kV Bhadla Pooling Station
6	Village: Mandhopura, Tehsil: Fatehgarh, Dist.: Jaisalmer	Rajasthan	Inter	300	220	220 KV Fatehgarh-II(PS)
7	Village-Noorsar, Tal.Bikaner, Dist. Bikaner	Rajasthan	Inter	350	400	400 Kv Switchyard of Bikaner(PG) S/s
8	Village: Bhadla, Tehsil: Bap, Dist.: Jodhpur	Rajasthan	Inter	300	220	220 KV Bhadla-II pooling S/s
Total Inter-state connected capacity in MW				1930		

Total connected RE capacity (ISTS/InSTS) in MW	3230
---	-------------