## Case No. CGRF(NZ)/99/2018

Applicant	F	Shri Ansum Raj Ragade, Plot No. 9, Kricent HSG, Society, Ianuman Nagar, Godhani, Iagpur-440030.
Non-applicant	ר (	Nodal Officer, The Superintending Engineer, D/F), NUC, M.S.E.D.C.L., Nagpur.

Applicant's Representative : Shri Sunil Jacob,

Non-applicant represented by : 1) Shri V.E. Humane, Dy.Exe.Engr., NUC,

MSEDCL,

2) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL, Nagpur

Quorum Present	:1) Mrs. V.N.Parihar,
	Member Secretary
	2) Mrs. Asmita Avinash Prabhune,
	Member(CPO)

## ORDER PASSED ON 31.10.2018

1) The applicant filed the present grievance application before this forum on 31.08.2018 under the provisions of clause 6.4 the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulation 2006.

Page 1 of 3

2) Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply dt. 24.09.2018.

3) Forum heard arguments of both the sides on 25.09.2018, 05.10.18,31.10.2018 & carefully perused the case record.

4) An applicant Shri. Ansum Raj Ragade, has been released new electric connection for his residential purpose in the month of April-2008, but electric bills issued to him has been given as per commercial tariff. Hence he prayed to the forum that the electric bills given to be revised as per residential tariff and compensation of 5000/- be given for mental and physical harassment.

5) Non-applicant in their written reply stated that Shri. Ansum Raj Ragade,, bearing consumer no. 410022420309 has been given electric supply as per his own request for commercial purpose. Hence an electric bill for May-18 and June-18 was issued as per commercial tariff. Accordingly he paid Rs.1200/-on dated 11.06.2018.

6) Applicant approached IGRC on dt 07.07.2018 with a request for correction of tariff and to issue him electric bills with residential tariff and refund excess amount paid by him due to wrong tariff. IGRC vide its order dt.13.07.2018 allowed to change the category as per site inspection report and ordered to revise the energy bills giving necessary credit in the ensuing bill of the applicant.

7) Dissatisfied with the order passed by the IGRC, the appellant approached this forum.

8) We have carefully perused CPL and original A1 form of Shri. Ansum Raj Ragade bearing consumer no. 410022420309. It is seen that the applicant had applied for commercial category only. However, the IGRC has ordered for spot verification. Accordingly, the applicant has already been given relief by Non-applicant as per IGRC by changing his tariff category from commercial to residential. The revision of electric bill has already been done and credit of Rs.1957.30 is also given in the month of July-2018. Hence, in our opinion the applicant's request for compensation of Rs,5000/-for physical and mental harassment cannot be considered.

9. On the basis of above position we proceed to pass the following order.

## ORDER

- 1. Application is dismissed
- 2. Order passed by IGRC needs no interference.

Sd/-(Mrs. Asmita A. Prabhune) MEMBER(CPO)

Sd/-(Mrs. V.N.Parihar) MEMBER SECRETARY

## NAGPUR

Dt.: 31.10.2018

Page 3 of 3

Case No.99/2018