Applicant :	Shri Bhagwan Ramchandra Thool, Plot No. 111, Kashi Nagar Road, Shatabdi Chowk, Nagpur-440027.
Non-applicant :	Nodal Officer, The Superintending Engineer, (D/F), NUC, M.S.E.D.C.L., Nagpur.
Applicant represented by	: In person
Non-applicant represented by : 1) Shri V.R. Sonkusle, Exe.Engr.	
	2) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL,Nagpur
Quorum Present	: 1) Shri Arvind Jayram Rohee, Chairperson.
	<ol> <li>2) Mrs. V.N.Parihar, Member Secretary.</li> <li>3) Mrs. Asmita Avinash Prabhune,</li> </ol>

## Case No. CGRF(NZ)/89/2018

## ORDER PASSED ON 11.09.2018

1. The applicant approached this Forum, under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as, the Regulations) for redressal of his grievance alleging excessive reading of electricity consumption than the one actually used by him. 2. The applicant holds electricity connection bearing consumer No.410013981021 for commercial use at his native address. It is his grievance that for the month of May 2018 and June 2018 he received excessive electric bill than actual use made by him. He, therefore, first approached Commercial Manager, SNDL & then to this forum. By the reply dated 20.08.2018, Non applicant admitted that on the request made by the applicant the disputed meter was replaced. However, the disputed electric bills were not corrected and hence he received excessive bills for the month of May & June 2018. It is stated that since the actual meter reading was not found from the month of March 2018 necessary relief is granted to the applicant considering his average consumption of 150 units per month drawn on the basis of last 12 months consumption. The electric bill was accordingly modified by giving credit of Rs.2008.16 in the electric bill of July 2018. As such the applicant is not entitled to any relief.

3. The IGRC in its order dated 18.07.2018 declared revision of bills from April 2018 to June 2018 considering monthly average consumption of 150 units.

4. On 31.08.2018 heard the oral submission the of both parties. We have carefully perused the case record.

5. It is obvious that excessive bills were wrongly issued to the applicant after replacement of the old tented electric meter Both the Commercial Manager & IGRC had given necessary relief to the applicant. Page 2 of 3 Case No.89/2018 As such this Forum does not find any merit in the case nor any justifiable grounds are ever raised or made out by the applicant to show that the order passed by IGRC is incorrect and hence it is liable to be quashed. In fact order passed by IGRC is already complied with by Non – applicant by granting credit to the applicant.

6. In the result the grievance application does not survive. It is, therefore, dismissed. The parties are however directed to bear their respective costs.

Sd/-Mrs.Asmita A. Prabhune MEMBER (CPO) Sd/-Mrs.V.N.Parihar MEMBER SECRETARY Sd/-Arvind J. Rohee CHAIRPERSON

Nagpur

Dt. : 11.09.2018

Page 3 of 3

No.89/2018