

CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM

UrjaBhavan, 3rd Floor, Bhigwan Road, Baramati -413102 Tel. No. 02112-244772, 74 (O), Fax No. 02112- 244773

E-mail: cebaramati@mahadiscom.in/ cgrfbaramatil@gmail.com

Case No.: 06/2018

Date of Grievances: 10 /05/2018 **Date of Order:** 29/06/2018

M/s. M/s. Samarth Milk & Milk Product, Kale, Tal. Karad, Dist. Satara.

Applicant

(Hereinafter Referred to a consumer)

Versus

Executive Engineer, M.S.E.D.C.L.,O&M, Division, Karad

Opponent

(Hereinafter referred to as Licensee)

Quorum

Chairperson

Mr. B. D. Gaikwad

Member

Mr. S. K. Jadhav

Member Secretary

Mr. M. A. Lawate

Appearance:-

For Consumer: -

Mr. Deepak L. Shinde (Consumer)

For Respondent: - 1- Mr. S. N. Mali, Dy. Executive Engineer, Sub-Division, Karad.

ORDER (Date:-29/06/2018)

- 1- The Complainant above named has filed present Grievance under regulation 6.4 Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman)Regulations 2006, Hereinafter referred to as Regulation of 2006.
- 2- The Complainant M/s. Samarth Milk & Milk Product is LT consumer having contract demand of 41 KVA and sanctioned load is 50 KW and consumer No is 197321038229. The Electric Supply was released on Dt. 30.06.2009. According to



W. W.

complainant it runs milk & milk production plant at village Kale Tal. Karad Dist. Satara. It is the consumer of LT industrial Category and electric bills were charged as Industrial LT (V) (B) tariff. However form June 2015 the bills were charged as LT V (C) tariff at commercial rate and so the electric bills are become double causing economic loss and it become economically impossible to run said business. The opponent Licensee claimed said bills retrospectively from June 2015 and claimed the recovery of Rs. 13, 36,690/- Karad sub division sent the bill of recovery. The said change in the tariff is not admitted by the consumer and has made correspondence As per MERC Tariff order dt 26/06/2015 in the case no 121/2014 for chilling plant (Dairy) the electric bill should be charged as LT V(B) Industrial category. The Licensee has claimed the bill illegally on the basis of wrong tariff.

- 3- Complainant/Consumer further states that there are different kinds of machineries in its Milk & Milk Product plant and with the help of such machineries there is activity of milk Production. It is entitled for LT V B industrial tariff and same is the tariff for all milk plants in Maharashtra. It also produced Photographs of machinery in the plant. The Consumer thereby states that the bills shall be as per LT V (B) Industry tariff and excess amount should not be recovered from him. He has made complaint before IGRC Satara but his complaint was rejected and it is held that licensee is entitled to recover the amount of bill of Rs. 2,14,830/-. The Consumer thereby submitted his Grievance before this forum.
- 4- The Licensee has resisted the complaint by filling Say It is contented that on 21.8.2017 flying squad Satara inspected the premises of the consumer and directed sub division office Karad to change tariff as LT II C instead of LT V (B) and so the tariff and recovery are claimed from the consumer.
- The License further contented that flying squad satara observed that consumer use to collect huge quantity of milk and preserve the milk in chilled good condition up to 3 to 4 degree c and dispatch the milk to through tankers. There is no processing of milk or production of milk products and milk is not chilled for dairy activities. The actual usage is for Milk collection LT –II C commercial activity as per MERC tariff order June 2015. The plain difference between the tariffs shall be recovered from consumer. The spot inspection report is signed by consumers representative. The bill of Rs. 13,36,690/- was issued to consumer and he is liable to pay the same. It is contended

CALL.

A.

and the same of th

that as per MERC tariff 2015, The tariff charged is correct and proper and present grievance is devoid of merits. The recovery claimed from the consumer is from June 2015 to September 2017. The Licensee submits Grievance shall be dismissed with cost.

6- The hearing of the present grievance was fixed on 29.06.018 before the forum and the representative of both parties were heard at length. On perusal of the documents on record and hearing of parties, following points arise for our consideration and we have recorded our finding thereon for the reasons stated hereinafter.

POINTS FINDINGS

I) whether the tariff LT-II commercial is - No.

Applicable in the present case?

II) Whether consumer is entitled for the reliefs claimed? - Yes.

III) What order? - As per final order?

REASONS

- 7- The learned consumer shri. D.L. Shinde submitted his arguments and reiterated the contents of the application in his argument. He produced documents on record. On the other hand Shri, S.N. Mali Dy. Executive Engineer karad Sub- Division, Dist- Satara also reiterated the contents of written say on record.
- 8- There is no dispute that consumer is LT consumer having contract demand of 41 KVA and sanctioned load is 50 KVA. The date of connection is 30.06.2009. It may be noted since the date of connection of Electricity, the bills were charged and paid as per LT-V-B II tariff and there was no dispute regarding the tariff. However, Licensee has changed the tariff without any notice and on the directions of Flying squad. It started to charge bills on the basis of LT-II commercial tariff from June 2015 and also claimed amount of difference between the said tariff for June 2015 to September 2017 and that recovery amount comes to Rs. 13,36,690/-.
- 9- As per MERC Tariff order dated 26.06.2015 in case No. 121/2014, the revised tariffs are applicable from 1.06.2015 and MSEDCL in its commercial circular No. 243 dated 3/7/2015. Instructed its field officers that whenever the tariff category is redefined or newly created by MERC, the exiting/prospective consumers should be properly categorized by actual field inspection immediately and data to be immediately updated in the IT data base. In the case in hand flying squad inspected the premises on 21.08.2017 and thereafter directed to change the tariff and recovery of difference of tariff.



MA

- 10-We have to consider the contract load, sanctioned load and actual load as stated earlier. The documents indicate that sanctioned load is 50 HP and actual load is 41 H.P. We are unable to believe that such 41 H.P. electricity is used merely to collect milk and to run milk collection centre. It is rightly submitted on behalf's of consumer that for chilling plant of milk, the collection of milk is primary activity. The record indicates that the consumer is having machineries and also building for manufacturing milk products and it is not merely milk collection center to which LT-II commercial tariff is applicable. It being milk product plant the applicable tariff is LT-V (B) LT industry general which was earlier applicable to the present consumer It is clear that when there is milk processing and chilling, the LT-V (B) tariff shall be applicable. The milk collection centre is in commercial category because there is no much consumption of electricity and there is no processing of the milk so in the present case earlier tariff category was legal and proper as per rules.
- 11-It is righty submitted on behalf of consumer that usage of electricity is mainly for manufacturing milk product and for collection of milk only 100 to 200 vat Bulbs/Tubes are used. The electricity is used for running machineries of chilling plant. It is rightly submitted that chilling of milk is immediately required so as to prevent growth of Bacteria and spoilage to maintain its quality. The MERC order dated 12.9.2010 in case no. 111/2009 clarified the consumer categorization which should reflect main purpose of the consumer premises. In the present case main purpose of usage is to run chilling plant and milk products and so the tariff applicable is LT-V (B) Industry-general and applicable cause is (B) in the tariff order which include milk processing chilling plants (dairy) The collection of milk in this case is merely ancillary and incidental.
- 12- It is also submitted on behalf of consumer that supplementary bills and recovery thereof is illegal and it cannot be retrospective without admitting the claim of Licensee. It is submitted that in any case recovery must be prospective from the date of detection of error. The reliance is placed on the order of MERC in case No.24/2001 dated 11.02.2003 wherein in Para 23 of the order it is observed

"No retrospective recovery of arrear can be allowed on the basis of any abrupt reclassification of consumer even though same might have been pointed out by the Auditor. Any reclassification must follow a definite process of natural justice and the recovery if any would be prospective only as the earlier classification was done with a distinct application of mind by the competent people. The same cannot be categorized as an escaped billing in strict sense of the term to be recovered retrospectively".

A

St.

In the present case there is no question of any recovery because we have came to the conclusion that earlier classifications is as per rules and it is not necessary to change the tariff.

13- In view of above discussion, we answered above point's No. 1 and 2 accordingly and pass following order.

ORDER

- 1- Grievance is partly allowed as under.
- 2- It is hereby declared that the present consumer is entitled for tariff LT V (B) Industry General and Licensee shall issue monthly bills accordingly.
- 3- The Licensee shall adjust excess amount if paid/recovered with bank interest u/s 62(6) Electricity Act 2003 in future energy bills.
- 4- No order as to cost.

5- The licensee to report compliance within one month from the date of receipt of this

M. A. Lawate Member/Secretary

CGRF, BMTZ, BARAMATI

S.K. Jadhav Member

CGRF, BMTZ, BARAMATI

Chairperson

CGRF, BMTZ, BARAMATI

The Consumer if not satisfied may file representation against this order before the Hon'ble Ombudsman within 60 days from date of this order at the following address.

Office of the Ombudsman,

Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission, 606/608, Keshav Building, BandraKurla Complex, Bandra (East), Mumabi-51.