

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s  
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum  
Nagpur Zone, Nagpur**

---

**Case No. CGRF(NZ)/68/2018**

Applicant : Smt. Archana D. Zade,  
Behind Mohata Garden,  
Navkarkar Layout,  
Hinghanghat.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,  
The Executive Engineer  
Hinghanghat Division, MSEDCL,  
Hinghanghat.

---

Applicant represented by : 1) Shri B. V. Betal,  
Non-applicant represented by: 1) Shri H. P. Pawade, Exe.Engineer, MSEDCL.  
2) Shri V. B. Kothare, Dy.E.E. MSEDCL.

---

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Vishnu S. Bute,  
Chairman.  
2) Mrs. V.N.Parihar,  
Member Secretary

---

**ORDER PASSED ON 29.06.2018**

The applicant presented this application feeling aggrieved by the order passed by the IGRC Wardha in case no. SE/ Wardha/Tech/IGRC/2637 dt. 25.5.2017. The applicant is having a open plot. The applicant requested that the electricity line passing through the plot may be shiefted. The IGRC observed that if there is a likelihood of accident the respondent may prepare a proposal to shift the line under DPDC scheme. Feeling aggrieved by this order the applicant presented this grievance application.

The respondent submitted parawse reply under no. EE/O&M/Hsg/Tech/1896 dt. 12.06.2018. The case was fixed for personal hearing on 28.06.2018. Both the parties were present. They were heard.

Shri B. V. Betal, a representative argued for the applicant. He stated that the applicant is having a plot in village Rimdoh in Navkarkar Layout. One line for agriculture supply is passing through the layout. The applicant propose to construct a house in the plot. So the electric line may be shifted. The respondent may be directed to prepare an estimate for line shifting through DPDC scheme. The applicant is not satisfied with the order passed by the IGRC.

Shri Pawade, Executive Engineer, Hinganghat Division represented the respondent. He stated that the line erected for agriculture supply is existing there for a long time. The layout where the plot of applicant exists is not sanctioned. The applicant has not taken permission for construction of house from the Municipal Council Hinganghat. The applicant has not submitted any map showing the location of the plot. So the payer of the applicant is illegal. The respondent cannot shift the existing line and bear the expenditure. The applicant is bound to keep safe distance from the line at the time of construction. The respondent produced three photograph in support of his submissions. The application may be dismissed.

We have heard the arguments of both the parties. We have perused the record of the case.

At the outset the Forum noticed that there is absolutely no document on record showing that the applicant is the legal owner of the plot and she has taken permission from the local authority for the proposed construction. The respondent say that the line is in existence for last about twenty years. So we feel that the request of the applicant to shift the line at respondent's cost is not justified.

This follows that the applicant will have to bear the expenditure if she desires line shifting.

On perusal of the photographs submitted by the respondent it is seen that at present the plot is vacant one. If the applicant wish to construct the house she is bound to leave a safe distance from the existing line.

In view of the above position we are of the opinion that the prayer of the applicant is unrealistic and illegal. It deserve no consideration.

So we pass the following order.

**ORDER**

1. Application no. 68/2018 is dismissed.

Sd/-  
**Mrs. V.N.Parihar**  
MEMBER SECRETARY

Sd/-  
**Vishnu S. Bute,**  
Chairman