## Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Zone, Nagpur

## Case No. CGRF(NZ)/58/2018

Applicant : Shri Haribhau G. Nehare,

Murpad, Tah. Hinganghat, Post – Kajalsara, Dist. Wardha.

Non-applicant: Nodal Officer,

The Executive Engineer,

Hinganghat Division, MSEDCL,

Hinganghat.

Applicant represented by : 1) Shri. B. V. Betal,

Non-applicant represented by: 1) Shri H.P.Pawadhe, Exe.Engineer, MSEDCL.

2) Shri P.R. Telrand, Dy.E.E. MSEDCL.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Vishnu S. Bute,

Chairman.

2) Mrs. V.N.Parihar, Member Secretary.

## **ORDER PASSED ON 29-06-2018.**

The applicant presented this application feeling aggrieved by the order passed by the IGRC Wardha in case no. SE/Wardha/Tech/IGRC/2215 on 29.04.2017. The IGRC dismissed the case of the applicant on the ground of limitation, hence this grievance application.

The respondent submitted parawise reply under no. EE/O&M/Hsg/Tech/
1865 dt. 8.6.2018. The case was fixed for personal hearing on 28.6.2018.

Both the parties were present they were heard.

Page 1 of 3 Case No.58/2018

The IGRC dismissed the application of the application because it was not presented within the time limit prescribed by the Regulations. Before going into the merits of the case it is necessary to discuss and decide the tenability of the case.

At the time of hearing Shri Betal, a representative argued the case. He also submitted written notes of argument. However unfortunately he pressed the case on two counts viz. the bill given in June 2014 was wrong and the supply of the applicant was disconnected without proper notice. The IGRC dismissed the case on the point of limitation. However the applicant is totally silent on this point.

According to the applicant, the respondent disconnected his power supply on 22.09.2014. He approached this Forun on 07.05.2018 i.e. after about three years and seven months.

Regulation 6.6 of the MERC (CGRF & EO) Regulations 2006 reads as under,

6.6 The Forum shall not admit any Grievance unless it is filed within two (2) years from the date on which the cause of action has arise.

In this case the power supply was disconnected on 22.09.2014. Naturally the cause of action has arisen in 2014. However the applicant approached this Forum on 7.5.2018. So we are of the opinion that the case is time barred.

So we pass the following order,

Page 2 of 3 Case No.58/2018

## <u>ORDER</u>

1. Application no. 58/2018 is dismissed, being time barred.

Sd/Mrs.V.N.Parihar
MEMBER SECRETARY

Sd/-Vishnu S. Bute Chairman

Page 3 of 3 Case No.58/2018