Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redresses Forum Nagpur Zone, Nagpur

Case No. CGRF(NZ)/63/2018	
Applicant :	Shri Sanjay Bhaurao Zade., Plot No.786, Zanshi Sq. Pardi, Bhandara Road, Nagpur-440035.
Non-applicant :	The Superintending Engineer, (Nodal), NUC, MSEDCL, Nagpur
Applicant represented by : 1) Shri. Sanjay Bhaurao Zade,	
Non-applicant represented by: 1) Shri Dahasahastra, (SNDL Representative)	
	2) Mrs. M.A. Amrute, Dy.Exe.Engineer.
Quorum Present	: 1) Shri Vishnu S. Bute, Chairman.
	2) Shri N.V.Bansod, Member
	3) Mrs. V.N.Parihar, Member Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 19.06.2018

 The applicant presented this application; feeling aggrieved by the order passed by the IGRC-SNDL Nagpur in case No. 0134/2018 on 10.05.2018; before this Forum under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations). The applicant contended that he was getting excessive electricity bills. The IGRC observed that the meter installed at Page 1 of 3 the residence of the applicant was tested in SNDL MTL and thereafter the MSEDCL MTL. The meter was found to be O.K. so his application was rejected. Hence this grievance application.

2. The respondent submitted reply under No.SNDL/Comm/CGRF/1253 dt. 06.06.2018. The case was fixed for personal hearing on dt. 12.06.2018. Both the parties were present. They were heard.

3. As per the applicant the meter installed at his residence was changed in November 2013. The new meter was showing excessive reading. Therefore he was getting the electricity bills of huge amount.

4. On perusal of the record and the order of the IGRC it reveal that the disputed meter was tested in SNDL MTL. It was found to be OK. As the applicant was not satisfied the meter was again tested in MSEDCL Lab. There also the meter was found OK. So in our opinion there is no force in the contention that the meter was faulty.

5. At the time of hearing the applicant raised two new points which were not submitted before IGRC.

6. The applicant stated that the respondent changed the meter. The meter sent to the MSEDCL Lab was not the meter installed at his residence. Because the consumer number mentioned in the report of the MSEDCL is different. In reply the respondent stated that the meter was removed from the house of the applicant. It was tested and found OK. Since the meter was in proper order it was installed at the residence of another consumer. When the applicant requested for testing the meter in MSEDCL Lab the meter was again removed from the home of a new consumer and brought to MTL. So the consumer number is different but the meter number is same.

7. As per the MSEDCL Lab the meter number is G 1113552. The respondent submitted the CPL of the applicant. It is seen therefore that the meter installed at the residence of the applicant and which was removed was meter No. G 1113552. So we disagree with this contention of the applicant

8. The applicant also argued that the respondent disconnected the power supply without any notice. However, the respondent produced the copy of the notice dated 08.10.2017. We find no force in this argument.

8. In view of the above we pass the following order.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. Application No.63/2018 is dismissed.

Sd/-(N.V.Bansod) MEMBER Sd/-(Mrs.V.N.Parihar) MEMBER/SECRETARY Sd/-(Vishnu S. Bute) Chairman

Page 3 of 3

Case No.63/2018