

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NZ)/54/2018

Applicant : Shri Amol P. Tandulkar,
Post - Magrul,
Tah. Samudrapur,
Hinghanghat.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Executive Engineer
Hinghanghat Division, MSEDCL,
Hinghanghat.

Applicant represented by : 1) Shri. B. V. Betal,
Non-applicant represented by: 1) Shri H.P. Pawade, Exe.Engineer, MSEDCL.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Vishnu S. Bute,
Chairman.
2) Shri N.V.Bansod,
Member
3) Mrs. V.N.Parihar,
Member Secretary

ORDER PASSED ON 29.05.2018

The applicant presented this application under the provisions of Regulation 6.4 of MERC (CGRF & EO) Regulations 2006. The applicant say that inspite of the fact he submitted complete application for release of supply to his agricultural pump the respondent failed to release the supply within the stipulated period. So the respondent may be directed to release the connection immediately. The applicant may be awarded compensation.

The case was fixed for personal hearing on 28.05.2018. Both the parties were present. They were heard. The representative of the applicant also submitted written notes of argument.

Shri Betal, the representative argued that the applicant submitted an application for connection. He deposited the required amount and he also submitted the test report. However the dates mentioned by the applicant are as under,

	In application in Schedule 'A'	In written notes of argument.
Date of application	30-12-2014	22-12-2016
Demand note receipt.	31-03-2015	02-01-2017
Amount deposited.	12-05-2015	17-01-2017
A.R. submitted.	25-07-2015	Not mentioned.

The respondent has not released the supply till today. The respondent be directed to release the supply. The compensation as per rule may be awarded, he concluded.

In reply the respondent stated that the applicant submitted an application on 22.12.16. He deposited the required amount on 17.01.17. His name is included in paid pending list of the year 2016-17. To release the connection to the applicant laying of L.T. line admeasuring 0.24 is necessary. The applicant has not submitted the test report. So his application is incomplete. He is not entitle for any compensation.

We have perused the record. We have heard the arguments of both the parties. At the outset about the genuineness of application. There is difference between the dates mentioned in schedule A and the written notes of argument.

Secondly the respondent stated that the applicant has not submitted the test report. So he cannot claim connection. The applicant could not give exact date of submission of the test report. He could not produce the copy or Xerox copy of the report. So we come to the conclusion that the applicant has not submitted the test report.

Regulation 4.7 of the MERC (standards of performance of distribution licensee, period for giving supply and determination of compensation) Regulation 2014 reads as under,

4.7 The Distribution Licensee shall on an application by the owner or occupier of any premises give supply of electricity to such premises within one month after receipt of the completed application and payment of charges requiring such supply,

Since the applicant has not submitted the test report his application is not complete. So the respondent cannot give supply to him. This follows he is not entitle for any compensation.

ORDER

1. Application no. 54/2018 is dismissed.

Sd/-
N.V. Bansod
MEMBER

Sd/-
Mrs. V.N.Parihar
MEMBER SECRETARY

Sd/-
Vishnu S. Bute,
Chairman