

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/169/2014

Applicant : Shri Devidas (Devendra) Golchha,
Plot No. 27, N.M.V. Layout,
Byramji Town,
Nagpur.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(Distribution Franchisee),
MSEDCL, N.U.C.,
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,
Chairman.

2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar
Member.

3) Shri Anil Shrivastava,
Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 26.8.2014.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 11.7.2014 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. The applicant's case in brief is that he received excessive bill for the month of May 2014 and bill be revised. He approached to I.G.R.C. but his grievance application is rejected. Therefore he approached to this Forum.

3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 26.7.2014. It is submitted that meter of the applicant is tested and found O.K. Grievance application may be dismissed.

4. On the date of arguments, applicant was absent. Forum heard arguments of non applicant side and perused the record.

5. CPL of the applicant shows that there is no change of meter since long. Bill of April 2014 is issued for only 168 units and bill of May 2014 is issued for 1937 units due to accumulation of consumption because the bill of April 2014 came to be issued with wrong meter reading. Record shows that SNDL has already revised bill of May 2014 by bifurcating the consumption of April & May 2014 in 2 months and has given credit of Rs. 1712.21 to the account of the applicant. Total consumption of the applicant for April 2014 and May 2014 is 2105 units i.e. his per month average consumption comes to 1052 units. His consumption for April 2012 & May 2012 was 2165 units. Record shows that meter working is normal and it is confirmed by acucheck report. Slab benefit is already proposed by SNDL which will be effected in ensuing bill of the applicant. In our opinion no more relief can be granted to the applicant. Order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. is legal & correct and needs no interference. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order :-

ORDER

- 1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-
(Anil Shrivastava)
MEMBER
SECRETARY

Sd/-
(Adv. Subhash Jichkar)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(Shivajirao S. Patil),
CHAIRMAN