

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NZ)/45/2016

Applicant : Smt Nigar Farhan Syed Farhan
37-A, Hazari Pahad
Nagpur-07.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(D/F.) NUC, MSEDCL,
NAGPUR.

Applicant' Representative :- Shri Satish K.Ingle.

Respondent by 1) Shri Larokar, Nodal Office
2) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,
Chairman.

2) Shri N.V.Bansod
Member

3) Mrs. V.N.Parihar,
Member, Secretary

ORDER PASSED ON 21.04.2016.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 22.03.2016 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).

2. Applicant's case in brief is that his electricity bill for the month of January-2016 for 202 units is excessive and therefore claimed for revision of bill.

3. Non applicant, denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 05.04.2016.

It is submitted that meter is tested on Accuchek on 29-01-2016 and it is found O.K. As per connected load of the applicant 1.2 KW, calculation of average bill comes to 216 units per month. If average of 12 months bill of the applicant is calculated, its come to 192 units per month. Therefore bill of 202 units in January-2016 is as per consumption of the applicant and bill can not be revised.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused record.

5. According to applicant the electricity bill of January-2016 for 202 units is excessive however if we perused previous consumption of trend of the applicant, it appears that applicant has a tendency to utilize more electrical energy. CPL shows that June-2014 consumption is 228 units, in July-2014 – 254 units, in May-2015 – 279 units, in June-2015 – 387 units, in September-2015 – 219 units. Therefore in the past applicant had consumed more electrical energy than consumption of January-2016.

6. Record shows that meter of the applicant is tested. Meter testing report (MMG) dated 29-01-2016 shows that meter is O.K. Therefore consumption utilized by applicant is correctly recorded by meter Hence bill can not be revised.

7. We have perused order passed by IGRC in case No.156/2016 dated 22-02-2016. It is legal and proper therefore needs no interference.

8. During the course of argument representative of applicant Shri Satish K. Ingle argued that it is 3 BHK Flat of his master. Spot inspection report shows heavy connected load i.e. fan-5, tube light-5, CFL-3, TV-1, Set Top box-1, Freeze-1, Cooler-3, mixture-1, Geyser-1, computer-5 and printer-1. Therefore there is heavy connected load. Considering this connected load, bill of 202 units in January-2016 is most justified and not excessive.

9. Representative of the applicant Mr. Satish Ingle argued that his master is using 5 computers for commercial use for the purpose of business. Record shows that it is residential connection.

10. Smt. Nihar Farhan Sayed Farhan wife of Syed Khusro Farhan had given this flat on agreement of leave and license to AFC India Ltd., Dhanraj Mahal, Mumbai through Shri Madanlal s/o late Shri R.S.Shrivastava. The tenant or the licensee is admittedly utilizing electricity for commercial purpose. Representative of applicant admitted that many persons are residing in the flat. 5 computers are utilizing for business purpose and it is unauthorized use of electricity within the meaning of Section 126 of Electricity Act 2003 and non-applicant can take suitable action for that purpose. Applicant shall be thankful to non-applicant that the till now no action under section 126 is taken against him.

11. For these reason we find no substance in application. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed.

5. We proceed to pass the following order.

ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-
(Mrs.V.N.Parihar)
MEMBER/SECRETARY

sd/-
(N.V.Bansod)
MEMBER

sd/-
(Shivajirao S. Patil),
CHAIRMAN