

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s  
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum  
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

---

**Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/018/2015**

Applicant : Shri Preraj G. Rabbewar,  
New Mankapur, Post Office Road,  
Plot No. 47,  
Nagpur.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,  
The Superintending Engineer,  
(Distribution Franchisee),  
MSEDCL,  
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,  
Chairman.  
  
2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar  
Member.  
  
3) Shri Anil Shrivastava,  
Member / Secretary.

**ORDER PASSED ON 7.3.2015.**

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 19.01.2015 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. Applicant's case in brief is that he received excessive bills. Being aggrieved by the order passed by I.G.R.C. he approached to this Forum.

3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 2.2.2015. It is submitted that meter is tested by acucheck on 17.11.2014 and it is found O.K. As per order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. old meter is replaced and tested in the laboratory of S.N.D.L. and it is found correct. Therefore bill can not be revised. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed.

4. Forum heard argument of non applicant and perused record.

5. Record shows that since July 2013 to November 2013, consumption was respectively, '8' units, '0' units, '0' units '0' units and '0' units. It appears that it was nothing but manipulation. Considering the connected load, such consumption is impossible.

6. To clear all these doubts, as per order dated 5.2.2015, it was ordered by this Forum that meter of the applicant be tested in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L. and to submit meter testing report. Accordingly, meter is tested in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L. and as per meter testing report dated 27.2.2015, submitted by Executive Engineer, Urban Testing Division, MSEDCL, Nagpur, meter is O.K. Therefore bills can not be revised. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order :-

### ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-  
(Anil Shrivastava)  
MEMBER  
SECRETARY

Sd/-  
(Adv. Subhash Jichkar)  
MEMBER

Sd/-  
(Shivajirao S. Patil),  
CHAIRMAN