

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/023/2015

Applicant : Shri Anandrao M. Warkar,
User – Smt. Vandana A.Warkar,
Plot No. 42, Awalenagar,
Nari Road,
Nagpur.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(Distribution Franchisee),
MSEDCL,
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,
Chairman.

2) Shri Anil Shrivastava,
Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 3.3.2015.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 28.01.2015 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. Applicant's case in brief is that he received excessive bills. Being aggrieved by the order passed by I.G.R.C. applicant approached to this Forum.

3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 11.2.2015. It is submitted that meter of the applicant was replaced on 22.8.2014. It was tested in meter testing laboratory on Dt. 8.9.2014 and found correct. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. It is true that in the month of May 2014, consumption is shown as 1217 units but it is for 3 months. Likewise in July 2014, consumption was 911 units for 2 months because in the month of March 2014, April 2014 and June 2014, there was Inaccessible status. We have carefully perused connected load shown in acucheck meter testing reported so also verified by the Forum personally from the applicant during the course of hearing. Applicant specifically told that there are 3 rooms, 3 lights, 2 fans, 1 T.V. However, this connected load told by the applicant orally before the Forum does not tally with connected load shown in meter testing report of acucheck Dt. 25.8.2014. In this meter testing report Dt. 25.8.2014, connected load shown as 2 T.Vs., 4 fans, 2 coolers, 1 freeze, 4 CFL. Therefore applicant is suppressing connected load. Applicant told before the Forum that two tenants were residing in his house along with their family members.

6. Learned I.G.R.C. has rightly and nicely calculated consumption trend of the applicant in its order considering these aspects and CPL of the applicant. In our opinion there is same trend of consumption and bill was never excessive. Meter testing report Dt. 8.9.2014 shows that meter was tested in the laboratory in presence of the applicant and it is found O.K.

Therefore bill can not be revised. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order : -

ORDER

- 1) Grievance application is dismissed.

(Anil Shrivastava)
**MEMBER/
SECRETARY**

(Shivajirao S.Patil)
CHAIRMAN