Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Zone, Nagpur Case No. CGRF(NZ)/34/2016

Applicant	: Shri Mahadeo S. Jagnit Gorewada Pumping station, Gorewada Nagpur-13.
Non-applicant	: Nodal Officer, The Superintending Engineer, (D/F.) NUC,MSEDCL,

NAGPUR.

Applicant :- In person.

Respondent by 1) Shri Gotmare, EE, Nodal Office 2) Shri Larokar, Nodal Office. 3) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.

> Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil, Chairman.

> > 2) Mrs. V.N.Parihar, Member, Secretary

ORDER PASSED ON 21.04.2016.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 15.02.2016 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).

2. Applicant's case in brief is that his bill is excessive therefore bill may be revised.

3. Non applicant, denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 03.03.2016. It is submitted that meter is tested and it is found O.K. therefore bill can not be revised.

Page 1 of 2

Case No.34/2016

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused record.

5. Meter testing report of SNDL dated 11-12-2015 shows that meter is tested as per order of IGRC and it is found O.K.

6. During the course of hearing before this forum as per order dated 18-03-2016 meter is tested in the laboratory of MSEDCL. Executive Engineer Urban Testing Division, MSEDCL, Nagpur tested old meter No.76/11220424 and as per meter testing report dated 13-04-2016 meter is found O.K. Meter error is found 1.38% and it is within permissible limit. Therefore meter has recorded proper consumption utilized by applicant. Hence bill can not be revised

7. As per order of IGRC new meter is installed in December-2015 and it is working properly. Therefore grievance application deserves to be dismissed.

8. This case is registered in this forum on 15-02-2016. Therefore it was necessary to decide within 2 months i.e.on or before 15-04-2016 but on 05-03-2016 applicant was absent and case was adjourned on the part of the applicant. Furthermore as per order of the forum dated 28-03-2016 it was ordered to test the meter and to produce meter testing report on or before 12-04-2016. But meter testing report is filed before this forum as per inward No.117 dated 20-04-2016. Therefore due to late production of meter testing report and due to absent of applicant on 05-03-2016 forum could not decide this matter before 15-04-2016. Meter testing report is filed on record on 20-04-2016 and therefore we are deciding this case on 21-04-2016 immediately. Therefore delay for judgement is due to late production of meter testing the production of meter testing report is due to late production of meter testing the production of meter testing testing the production of meter testing t

9. Hence the following order.

ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-(Mrs.V.N.Parihar) MEMBER/SECRETARY Page 2 of 2 sd/-(Shivajirao S. Patil), CHAIRMAN Case No.34/2016