<u> </u>	Jase No. CGRF (NUZ)/007/2015
Applicant	 Shri Mahendra Arjun Meshram, Nara Road, Jaripatka, Nagpur : 12.
Non–applicant	 Nodal Officer, The Superintending Engineer, (Distribution Franchisee), MSEDCL, NAGPUR.
<u>Quorum Present</u>	: 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil, Chairman.
	2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar Member.
	3) Shri Anil Shrivastava, Member / Secretary.

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/007/2015

ORDER PASSED ON 25.2.2015.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 06.01.2015 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. Applicant's case in brief is he received excessive bills. Being aggrieved by the order passed by I.G.R.C. he approached to this Forum.

3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 17.1.2015. It is submitted that meter is tested in the meter testing laboratory on 21.10.2014 and it is found faulty. Therefore bill of August 2014 & September 2014 are already revised. Applicant approached to I.G.R.C. Learned I.G.R.C. relied on the provisions of regulation 15.4.1 of MERC Supply Code Regulations 2005 and directed to revise the bill. Accordingly amount of Rs. 6185.31 is given credit in the bill of the applicant for December 2014. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed.

4. Forum heard argument of non applicant and perused record.

5. Meter of the applicant was faulty and therefore provisions of regulation 15.4.1 of MERC Supply Code Regulations 2005 are applicable. Considering the fact that applicant meter is defective, his bills are required to be revised from three months preceding the month of disputed bill, till the date of replacement of meter. This aspect of the matter is already considered by Learned I.G.R.C. in its order dated 13.12.2014 and directed S.N.D.L. to give total credit of Rs. 6185/- to the applicant in his ensuing bill. In reply of S.N.D.L. it is submitted that said credit is given in the bill of December 2014. Therefore bill is already revised and nothing remained. Order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. is legal and valid & needs no interference. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order :-

ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-Sd/-(Anil Shrivastava)(Adv. Subhash Jichkar)(Shivajirao S. Patil),MEMBERMEMBERCHAIRMANSECRETARY