

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s  
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum  
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

---

**Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/047/2011**

- Applicant : Shri Iquabal Ahemad  
S/o Mansur Ahemad,  
Near Urdu Corporation School,  
C.A. Road Khadan,  
NAGPUR.
- Non-applicant : MSEDCL represented by  
the Nodal Officer-  
Superintending Engineer,  
(Dist. & Franchise Area),  
Nagpur Urban Zone,  
Nagpur.
- Quorum Present : 1) Shri. Shivajirao S. Patil  
Chairman,  
  
2) Adv. Smt. Gouri Chandrayan,  
Member,  
  
3) Smt. Kavita K. Gharat  
Member Secretary.

**ORDER (Passed on 14.11.2011)**

It is the grievance application filed by Shri Iquabal Ahemad S/o Mansur Ahemad on dated 14.09.2011 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (here-in-after referred-to-as the said Regulations.)

The applicant's case in brief is that, the consumer no. 410011102143 meter no. 7410665653 is in the name of the father of the applicant Mansur Ahemad S/o Ramjan Ahemad. There was also another meter in the name of his father which is permanently disconnected prior to 30 years. Present meter no. 7410665653 consumer no. 410011102143 is in occupation of the applicant since last 50 to 60 years and he is regularly paying the bills. In a bill dated 17.07.2010 amount of arrears of Rs.13,973/- of another meter of his father is shown in the bill of present meter. In fact it is the amount of arrears of the meter which is permanently disconnected. This meter was in occupation of shah Ahemad Mansur Ahemad. Applicant submitted many letters to the non-applicant and requested to cancel this arrears of Rs.13,973/- from his bill but non-applicant did not revise the bill. Therefore applicant filed present grievance application for revision of the bill.

The non-applicant denied the case of applicant by filing reply dated 30.09.2011. It is submitted that consumer no. 410011102143 is in the name of Mansur Ahemad Ramjan Ahemad. In the same building, the consumer, Mansur Ahemad Ramjan Ahemad has 4 electric connection since 1980 bearing nos. 1) 410011102119 2) 401101102127 3) 410011102135 4) 410011102143

Out of these meters electric supply of consumer no. 401101102127 was permanently disconnected on 17.08.1992 and at that time amount of Rs.5328=76 was due and outstanding on this meter. Likewise electric supply of consumer 410011102119 was permanently disconnected on 28.01.1994 and at that time amount of Rs. 12576/- was due and outstanding against this consumer. It is further submitted that Mansur Ahemad --- Ramjan Ahemad did not pay these arrears and therefore his supply was permanently disconnected and arrears amount of two meters were transferred as Rs.5328=76 on consumer no. 410011102135 and Rs.12576/- transfer on consumer no. 410011102143 in the bill of June 2010. The applicant submitted the application in S/Division Itwari on dated 01.07.2010 and 30.07.2010. Therefore amount of Rs.12576/- was cancelled from bill of consumer no. 410011102143 and only 50% amount of Rs.6288/- was transfer in the bill of January 2011 on consumer no. 410011102135 and it was informed to the applicant as per letter dated 16.09.2011. Thereafter applicant deposited only Rs. 600/- on 21.03.2011 amount of Rs. 16,233=20 is due and outstanding and application deserves to be dismissed.

Forum heard arguments of both the parties, and perused the record.

Record shows that applicant is yet not the consumer but his father Mansur Ahemad Ramjan Ahemad is the consumer even then the applicant signed

schedule "A" i.e. consumer application in person in the capacity of the consumer. On the date of filing the grievance application, applicant even did not produce authority letter of his father to act his representative. Even then applicant signed grievance application in the capacity of consumer and not signed grievance application in the capacity of representative for consumer. Therefore grievance application is un-tenable at law. It is true that 31.10.2011, applicant produced authority letter of his father Mansur Ahemad Ramjan Ahemad permitting him to act as a representative for his father. Therefore at the most it can be said that applicant is representative for the consumer Mansur Ahemad Ramjan Ahemad. Therefore applicant is not the consumer.

It is an admitted fact that there were four meters and four consumer nos. in the name of father of the applicant. It is also admitted fact two consumers were permanently disconnected. Records shows that there were arrears on disconnected two meters of Mansur Ahemad Ramjan Ahemad therefore MSEDCL is transferred these arrears on other two meters of Mansur Ahemad Ramjan Ahemad. Applicant is not consumer and therefore he has no locus-standi to challenge this arrears leveled on two meters of his father. Applicant has no locus-standi to file present grievance application.

Applicant did not produce a death certificate of his father to show original consumer is dead. The applicant did not produce succession certificate to show that applicant is legal representative / legal heir of the consumer. Applicant even did not produce any partition deed to show that this house and this meter is transfer on the name of the applicant. Admittedly till now, applicant did not filed any application to MSEDCL to change the meter is his name. There is nothing on record to show this house and this meter is in possession of the applicant. Therefore applicant has no locus-standi to file this application. So far as consumer Mansur Ahemad Ramjan Ahemad is concerned, MSEDCL is justify to transfer this arrears amount on remaining two meters being the arrears.

For this reason we find no substance and no merits in present grievance application and application deserves to be dismissed. Hence Forum proceed to pass the following order.

### ORDER

The grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/- (Smt.K.K.Gharat)	Sd/- (Adv.Smt.GauriChandrayan)	Sd/- (ShriShivajirao S.Patil)
MEMBER SECRETARY	MEMBER	CHAIRMAN