

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/045/2011

- Applicant : Shri Gunijan L. Mate
Plot No. 217, Nagoba Galli,
Near Dalvi Hospital,
Garoba Maidan,
NAGPUR.
- Non-applicant : MSEDCL represented by
the Nodal Officer-
Superintending Engineer
(Franchisee Area)
Nagpur Urban Zone,
Nagpur.
- Quorum Present : 1) Shri. Shivajirao S. Patil
Chairman,

2) Adv. Smt. Gouri Chandrayan,
Member,

3) Smt. Kavita K. Gharat
Member Secretary.

ORDER (Passed on 24.10.2011)

It is the grievance application filed by Shri Gunijan L. Mate on dated 06.09.2011 under the Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (here-in-after referred-to-as the said Regulations.)

The applicant's case is in brief that in a monthly bill of March 2010 amount of Rs.474=70 is shown excessively by MSEDCL in the bill.

The non-applicant denied the case of the applicant by filing reply on dated 29.09.2011. It is submitted that in February 2011 faulty bill of 50 units was given to the applicant. Applicant objected this bill, therefore this bill was corrected. In the bill of August 2011 credit of Rs.200=11 was given to the applicant and grievance of the applicant is solve.

Forum heard argument of both the parties and perused the record. It is noteworthy that one Danabhai Khetabai Patel signed Form A of present grievance application, before this Forum as if said Danabhai Khetabai Patel is consumer of MSEDCL.

During the course of argument Shri Gunijan L. Mate applicant was absent but signatory of the grievance application Shri Danabhai Patel was present and argued that this meter is in the name of previous landlord Shri Gunwant L. Mate Shri G.L. Mate sold property to Dr. Madan since long back and Dr. Madan is now owner of the house Shri Danabhai Patel is tenant of Dr. Madan. However Shri Danabhai Patel who signed grievance application did not produce any documents of tenancy or receipt of tenancy to show that he is the tenant of Dr. Madan or Shri G.L. Mate. Shri Danabhai Patel did not produce any authority letter to act as representative for Shri Gunijan Mate or Dr. Madan but even then Shri Danabhai signed in the grievance application in the name of consumer, though admittedly Shri Danabhai Patel is

not consumer. Forum is of the considered opinion that Shri Danabhai Patel has no locus-standi to file any grievance application in the name of the consumer Shri G.L. Mate. On this ground, application deserves to be dismissed. Hence forum proceed to pass the following order.

ORDER

The grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/- (Smt.K.K.Gharat)	Sd/- (Adv.Smt.GauriChandrayan)	Sd/- (ShriShivajirao S.Patil)
MEMBER SECRETARY	MEMBER	CHAIRMAN

Member-Secretary
Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum,
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur