## Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur

## Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/043/2011

Applicant	: Shri John Fernandes Plot No. 67-69, Dr. Ambedkar Nagar, Kinhi-Barkas, Taluka Hingna, Dist. Nagpur.
Non–applicant	: MSEDCL represented by the Nodal Officer- Executive Engineer Division No. II, Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur.
Quorum Present	: 1) Shri. Shivajirao S. Patil Chairman,

2) Adv. Smt. Gouri Chandrayan, Member,

3) Smt. Kavita K. Gharat Member Secretary.

## ORDER (Passed on 17.10.2011)

It is the grievance application filed by Shri John Fernandes on dated 18.08.2011 under the Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (here-in-after referred-to-as the said Regulations.)

The applicant's case is in brief that there are about 69 plots at Dr. Ambedkar nagar, Kinhi Barkas, Taluka Hingna Survey No. 33/1, with non-agriculture sanction and Page 1 of 3 Case No. 043/2011 established prior to 1995. It is necessary to install DP in this locality and to provide electric connection to no. of people who are residing in this locality. On 05.05.2009 applicant executed "Hamipatra" in favour of MSEDCL that applicant and others are ready to bear expenses of electrification. This Hamipatra is given by 18 persons on stamp paper. Even then till today. Electric Connection is not given to the applicant. Therefore applicant filed this grievance application with a request for issuance of direction to MSEDCL to given electric connection.

The non-applicant filed reply on dated 12.09.2011 and submitted that in this view of the matter the estimate for electrification of DalitVasti at Ambedkarnagar at Mouza Kinhi-Barkhas under Gumgaon D/C, Hingna S/Dn., is sanctioned under DP/DC scheme, during the year 2011-12 vide sanction dated 06.06.2011 amounting to Rs.6,74,000/-. Tender for the DPDC under SCP scheme is under final stage at Higher Office. After finalization of tender the work will be taken on priority.

During the course of argument Shri Tiwari, Assistant Engineer, Division no. –II argued that these layout are un-authorized layout. There is no sanction and there is no non-agriculture permission also. There is no town planning order. There are 69 plots, above 5-6 family are residing in the locality. At present there is no infrastructure. He further argued that 31.03.2012, is the time limit to complete this work. He further argued that they have sent tender to higher office. If they receive approval from the Head Office, they can complete the work within 3 months from the date of receipt of approval from the Head Office. On 12.08.2011 tender is submitted to higher authority for final approval and approval is expected in September 2011. Thereafter they can complete the work within 3 months.

For this proposal applicant was ready and he argued accordingly in his arguments.

Forum heard argument of both the sides and perused the record. Record shows that it is big scheme. Yet no infrastructure is available on the spot. It appears that MSEDCL has sent tender for final approved to higher authority. In case higher authority of MSEDCL approval the tender, non-applicant is ready to complete the work within 3 months from the date of final approval by Head Office.

Considering these aspect, Forum proceed to pass the following order.

## <u>ORDER</u>

The grievance application is partly allowed.

MSEDCL shall complete the work of infrastructure and providing electric connection to the applicant within 3 months from the date of final approval regarding the tender by Head Office and in case approval is granted by Head Office.

Sd/-Sd/-(Smt.K.K.Gharat) (Adv.Smt.GauriChandrayan) (ShriShivajirao S.Patil)MEMBERMEMBERSECRETARY

Case No. 043/2011