

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/70/2013

Applicant : Shri Madhur S.Bhotmange,
153, Yeshodeep Colony, near Kamptee
Road, Mahendranagar,
NAGPUR.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(Distribution Franchisee),
MSEDCL,
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri. Shivajirao S. Patil
Chairman,

2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar,
Member,

3) Smt. Kavita K. Gharat
Member Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 18.6.2013.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 26.4.2013 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. The applicant's case in brief is that he filed application for new electricity connection on 28.2.2013 but up till now new connection is not provided. Therefore applicant filed present grievance application.

3. Non applicant M/s. SPANCO denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 14.5.2013. It is submitted that House No. 1559/E/153 is in the name of father of the applicant Shri Surendra Dhanuji Bhotmange. Municipal Tax receipt is also in the name of father of the applicant. Electricity connection is already given to father of the applicant vide Consumer No. 410012021121. Applicant did not produce any registered partition deed. Separate connection can not be given in the same premises again.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. Applicant produced copy of ration card. This ration card is in the name of Shri Surendra D. Bhotmange i.e. father of applicant and in Column of names of family members, applicant's name Madhur is mentioned at Sr. No. 6. Therefore it is clear that there is no separate ration card of the applicant. Applicant is residing in joint family along with his father. N.M.C. receipt regarding payment of tax is also in the name of applicant's father. There is one electricity bill on record. It is also in the name of father of the applicant. No partition deed is produced on record by the applicant.

6. Therefore it is clear that applicant is residing with his father in the same house. It is joint family but only to get slab benefit the applicant is demanding separate connection in the

same premises which is not permissible. We find no force in this grievance application and it deserves to be dismissed.

7. Hence following order :-

ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-
(Smt.K.K.Gharat)
MEMBER
SECRETARY

Sd/-
(Adv.Subhash Jichkar)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(ShriShivajirao S.Patil)
CHAIRMAN