

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s  
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum  
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

---

**Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/036/2009**

Applicant : Shri Sohan Dayram Mahato  
At house no. 235, Awale Chowk,  
Lashkaribag,  
NAGPUR.

Non-applicant : MSEDCL represented by  
the Nodal Officer-  
Executive Engineer,  
Civil Line Division,  
Nagpur.

Quorum Present :1) Shri S.F. Lanjewar  
Executive Engineer &  
Member Secretary,  
Consumer Grievance Redressal  
Forum, Nagpur Urban Zone,  
Nagpur.

2) Smt. Gouri Chandrayan,  
Member,  
Consumer Grievance Redressal  
Forum,  
Nagpur Urban Zone,  
Nagpur.

**ORDER (Passed on 08.09.2009)**

This grievance application has been filed on 10.06.2009 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 here-in-after referred-to-as the said Regulations.

The grievance of the applicant is in respect of not to disconnect the electric supply.

The consumer had filed a case in IGRC on dated 09.06.2009, but they did not give any reply and he approached to Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum and filed a case on dated 10.06.2009.

The applicant has sought the following reliefs.

- 1) Give the natural justice.
- 2) Not to disconnect the supply.
- 3) Request for the action by MSEDCL to the staff .

The applicant had submitted his written statement on dated 10.06.2009, saying that he had submitted the documents. The spot inspection was done and the connection was issued to him. There was any problem or dispute between him and non-applicant. The connection was issued by Junior Engineer in-charge of this area.

There is no complaints against the company but since last two years the non-applicant is threatening to disconnect the supply.

Lastly, he prayed not to disconnect the supply and he should sought the relief.

Before approaching this Forum, he filed the case in IGRC on dated 09.06.2009. He had attached the documents in support as below.

- 1) Letter of Shri Sohal Mohato on dated 13.04.2009.
- 2) Letter of Smt. Suman Mahato on dated 05.09.2007 to Zonal Office of NMC.
- 3) Letter of Smt. Suman Mahato on dated 06.09.2007.
- 4) Letter of Shri Sunil Jacob on dated 26.09.2007.

- 5) करारनामा पत्र
- 6) Copy of letter of notification of Slum Area.
- 7) Copy of letter of Smt. Lilabai Ghanshayam Tembhurne on stamp paper Rs. 20/-
- 8) Corporation letter of Tax copy in the name of Shri Damdu S/o Tukaram Tembhurne in the year 2007 to 2008.
- 9) Corporation letter of Tax in the name of Smt. Punabai W/o Kashinath sons Dadu B/o Fuldass B/o Madhukar S/o Kashinath.
- 10) Energy bill in the name of Shri Sohan D. Mohte for the month of January, 2009.

The matter was heard on 07.07.2009, 28.07.2009 and 21.08.2009. Shri Sunil Jacob applicant's representative and Shri S.R. Gandhewar Executive Engineer, Civil Line Division, MSEDCL, Nagpur.

The applicant has replied that the house was in the name of Punabai and others part is 295 in the name of Late Damdu Tukaram Tembhurne (He is died). Then his successor late Dhanraj Tembhure. (He is also died). Next successor was late Leelabai Dhanraj Tembhurne (wife). Then Smt. Suman Sohan Mahato house purchaser. (existing owner).

In this the applicant argued that they are actual owner of the house and are entitled to get the connection.

The non-applicant argued in the hearing that there are not legal documents produced by the applicant and also there is no valid legal of papers which can show the ownership of house. Hence the

connection cannot be given in the name of the existing person. He also replied that there is a objection taken by one of family member of Tembhurane.

He was also added in his reply if the applicant submitted the valid documents regarding ownership then connection can be given on such land.

The applicant had given a sufficient chance to produce the valid documents of ownership but he could not produced it.

In fact he was diverting the issue and submitting the many other papers which are not so relevant and does not prove the valid ownership of house.

He also replied that the agreement on Rs. 20/- between Smt. Leelabai Dhanraj Tembhurane and Smt. Suman Sohan Mohato was on dated 14.01.2004. But in-fact there is no valid proof or documents which can show the ownership of the house.

He also added that the agreement is quite old i.e. 14.01.2004 which is not valid to-day. If the applicant submit the latest valid agreement then and then it will possible.

During the hearing the applicant was agreement to submit the same (valid document of ownership). In the next hearing is on dated 21.08.2009 but he could not produced the same.

The non-applicant had submitted the following documents in the support of his plea.

- 1) Letter given by J.E. Lashkaribag S/Dn., on dated 09.04.2009 to Smt. Sohan Dayaram Mohato.

- 2) Letter given by Deputy Zonal Officer to Smt. Suman Mahato on dated 25.09.2007 regarding to submit the documents of building construction.
- 3) Lettering given by J.E. Lashkaribag on dated 24.09.2007 to Smt. Sohan Dayaram Mahato regarding electric connection.

During the hearing consumer representative requested to give some time for submission of rejoinder. Request granted by this forum. Hence, the order passed by this Forum on Dt. 08.09.2009.

By observing all the documents and hearing of both the parties the decision taken by the Forum.

#### Decision

The applicant's grievance application stands rejected.

**Sd/-**  
**(S.F. Lanjewar)**  
Member-Secretary

**Sd/-**  
**(Smt. Gauri Chandrayan)**  
MEMBER

CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM  
**MAHARASHTRA STATE ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION CO LTD's**  
NAGPUR URBAN ZONE, NAGPUR.

Member-Secretary  
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum,  
Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co.Ltd.,  
Nagpur Urban Zone, NAGPUR

