## Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur

Applicant	: Shri Abdul Hamid Shaikh Ibrahim, At Shop No. 8, Wing J/B, 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor, Mangalwari Complex, Sadar, NAGPUR.
Non–applicant	: MSEDCL represented by The Nodal Officer, The Superintending Engineer (Distribution Franchisee), <b>NUC, Nagpur</b> .
Quorum Present	: 1) Shri. Shivajirao S. Patil Chairman,
	2) Adv. Smt. Gouri Chandrayan, Member,
	3) Smt. Kavita K. Gharat Member Secretary.

## Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/26/2012.

## ORDER PASSED ON DT. 7.5.2012

The applicant filed present Grievance application on Dt. 9.3.2012 under regulation 6.4 of the MERC (CGRF & Ombudsman) Regulations 2006 (hereinafter referred to as the Regulations).

- 1. Applicant's case in brief is that the applicant was not getting electricity bills every month. The bills were issued in wrong name. Supply was disconnected before payment of the bill without notice. Therefore the applicant claimed compensation of Rs. 1000/- per day. Bill should be issued to the applicant every month in correct name.
- 2. The Non-Applicant denied the case of the applicant by filing reply on Dt. 29.3.2012. It is submitted that the bills are properly issued in proper name to the applicant every month. The applicant had not mentioned when his supply was disconnected. The applicant is not entitled for any compensation. Till February 2012, amount of Rs. 3875.13 is due and outstanding against the applicant. The application may be dismissed.
- 3. Forum heard the arguments of both the sides and perused the record. It is noteworthy that the applicant had not given the dates on which the supply was allegedly disconnected so also the dates on which the supply was restored. There is nothing on record to show that at any time, the supply of the applicant was disconnected. There is nothing on record to show that the bills were issued in wrong name and that monthly bills were not issued. In absence of evidence on record we find no force in the allegations of the applicant and there is no reason to grant any compensation. Therefore the application deserves to be dismissed. Hence the Forum proceeds to pass following order.

## <u>ORDER</u>

1. The application is dismissed.

Sd/-Sd/-(Smt.K.K.Gharat) (Adv.Smt.GauriChandrayan) (ShriShivajirao S.Patil)MEMBERMEMBERCHAIRMANSECRETARY

Member Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum, Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur.