

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s  
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum  
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

---

**Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/326/2014**

Applicant : Shri Gangaprasad G. Choudhary,  
Juni Shukrawari, Sakkardara Road,  
Nagpur.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,  
The Superintending Engineer,  
(Distribution Franchisee),  
MSEDCL,  
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,  
Chairman.  
  
2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar  
Member.  
  
3) Shri Anil Shrivastava,  
Member / Secretary.

**ORDER PASSED ON 12.2.2015.**

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 15.12.2014 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. Applicant's case in brief is that he received excessive bill in June 2014. Being aggrieved by the order passed by I.G.R.C., he approached to this Forum.

3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 3.1.2015. It is submitted that meter is tested by acucheck on 28.10.2014 and it is found O.K. Meter photo was not clear and therefore bill from April 2014 to June 2014 for 3 months was divided into 3 months. Amount of Rs. 441.87 was

deducted from the bill of August 2014. Consumption of the applicant in the last year for the month from April 2013 to July 2013 was 780 units, whereas consumption for the month of April 2014 to July 2014 is 839 units which is increased only by 8% and therefore no further testing of the meter is required. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed.

4. Forum heard argument of non applicant and perused record.

5. Reading for the month of April 2014 to June 2014 was not readable and therefore bill of 3 months was divided in 3 parts and credit of Rs. 441.83 is given to the applicant in August 2014. If consumption of the applicant from April 2013 to July 2013 is perused, it is 780 units whereas his consumption from April 2014 to July 2014 is 839 units which shows only 8% rise. That much increase is possible due to more utilization of energy. Applicant himself admitted before the Forum that tenant was residing in his house in June 2014. We are aware of the tendencies of tenants. Normally, some of the tenants have tendency to consume excess electricity energy specially where it is decided that electricity bill shall be paid by the Land Lord. Therefore, in our opinion bill is not excessive. We find no force in grievance application and it deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order :-

#### ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-  
(Anil Shrivastava)  
MEMBER  
SECRETARY

Sd/-  
(Adv. Subhash Jichkar)  
MEMBER

Sd/-  
(Shivajirao S. Patil),  
CHAIRMAN