

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/126/2014

Applicant : Shri Mohansingh Nandlal Verma,
User Shri Sanjay Verma,
near Mahesh Medical Stores,
Narayanpeth, Prem Nagar,
Nagpur.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(Distribution Franchisee),
MSEDCL,
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,
Chairman.

2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar
Member.

3) Shri Anil Shrivastava,
Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 20.6.2014.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 23.5.2014 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. The applicant's case in brief is that his Consumer No. is 4100111336608. Applicant had installed motor pump for water purpose and due to that reason he is getting excessive bills. Therefore

he requested to check the meter. His meter was tested but he was not satisfied. He claimed to revise the bill.

3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 7.6.2014. It is submitted that meter of the applicant was tested by Acucheck on 13.5.2014 and it is found O.K. On perusal of CPL of the applicant it appears that applicant is getting bills of less consumption in comparison to his earlier trend of consumption and therefore bills can not be revised.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. We have carefully perused order passed by I.G.R.C. Dt. 19.5.2014. It is specifically mentioned in the order that there is no change of meter since long. Consumption of similar months i.e. March 2013 & April 2013 was $(589 + 462) = 1051$ units which is more than disputed bill of April 2014 for 659 units for 2 months. Meter is found to be working normally in Acucheck report.

6. We have also carefully perused CPL of the applicant. It appears that present bill of the consumer is less than previous consumption trend of the applicant. Furthermore, meter is tested by acucheck and it is found O.K. Therefore we find no force in the contention of the applicant that meter is fast.

7. During the course of arguments the applicant argued that he has installed electric motor for watering purpose and therefore bill is increasing. It is noteworthy that in grievance application of the

applicant also, applicant specifically mentioned that he had installed motor pump and therefore he is receiving excessive bills. Therefore it is crystal clear that previously there was no motor pump and now the motor pump is installed and therefore applicant apprehended that bill is excessive. However, previous consumption trend shows that present bill is lesser than previous bills. Therefore we find no substance in present grievance application and it deserves to be dismissed.

8. Order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. is correct, valid and legal and needs no interference. Hence we proceed to pass following order :-

ORDER

- 1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-
(Anil Shrivastava)
MEMBER
SECRETARY

Sd/-
(Adv. Subhash Jichkar)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(Shivajirao S. Patil),
CHAIRMAN