

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s  
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum  
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

---

**Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/311/2014**

Applicant : Smt. Latatai T. Telrandhe,  
Plot No. 33, Snehdeep Colony,  
Jaripatka,  
Nagpur.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,  
The Superintending Engineer,  
(Distribution Franchisee),  
MSEDCL,  
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,  
Chairman.  
  
2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar  
Member.  
  
3) Shri Anil Shrivastava,  
Member / Secretary.

**ORDER PASSED ON 28.1.2015.**

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 3.12.2014 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. Applicant's case in brief is that she received excessive bills. Being aggrieved by the order passed by I.G.R.C. he approached to this Forum.

3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 16.12.2014. It is submitted that meter of the applicant is tested in the

laboratory of M/s. SNDL in presence of the applicant and it is found O.K. Grievance application be dismissed.

4. Forum heard argument of non applicant and perused record.

5. As per order Dt. 18.12.2014 passed by this Forum, again meter was tested in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L. Executive Engineer, Testing Division (U), MSEDCL, Nagpur filed testing report Dt. 5.1.2015 on record to the effect that meter is tested and found O.K.

6. Spot Inspection report is on record. It shows sufficient load. 2 families are residing in this premises. It is true that in the month of August 2014, reading was 1127 units, but it was for 2 months as there was 'RNT' status in July 2014 and credit of Rs. 2798.45 is already given to the applicant in August 2014. It is noteworthy that in September 2014 consumption is 515 units, in October 2014 consumption is 540 units, in June 2014 consumption is 678 units. Therefore there is same trend of consumption.

7. Under these circumstances, we find no force in grievance application and it deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order :-

#### ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-  
(Anil Shrivastava)  
MEMBER  
SECRETARY

Sd/-  
(Adv. Subhash Jichkar)  
MEMBER

Sd/-  
(Shivajirao S. Patil),  
CHAIRMAN