

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s  
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum  
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

---

**Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/309/2014**

Applicant : Shri Maroti H. Tembhurne,  
Gautam Nagar,  
Gaddigudam,  
Nagpur.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,  
The Superintending Engineer,  
(Distribution Franchisee),  
MSEDCL,  
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,  
Chairman.  
  
2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar  
Member.  
  
3) Shri Anil Shrivastava,  
Member / Secretary.

**ORDER PASSED ON 28.1.2015.**

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 2.12.2014 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. Applicant's case in brief is that he received excessive bills especially for the month of October 2014. Being aggrieved by the order passed by I.G.R.C. he approached to this Forum.

3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 16.12.2014. It is submitted that as per order passed by Learned I.G.R.C., meter was tested in the laboratory of SNDL in presence of the applicant on 1.12.2014 and meter is found O.K. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed.

4. Forum heard argument of non applicant and perused record.

5. Complaint of the applicant is only regarding the consumption of October 2014. It is for 329 units. However, it is noteworthy that in the month of July 2014 also consumption of the applicant was 334 units. Not only this, in June 2012, the consumption was 310 units. Therefore it is clear that there was excess utilization of energy by the applicant in those months. Spot inspection report shows sufficient connected load.

6. As per order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. meter was tested in the laboratory of SNDL on 1.12.2014 and meter was found O.K. Therefore consumption recorded by the meter is the consumption utilised by the applicant. Hence bill can not be revised. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order :-

#### ORDER

- 1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-  
(Anil Shrivastava)  
MEMBER  
SECRETARY

Sd/-  
(Adv. Subhash Jichkar)  
MEMBER

Sd/-  
(Shivajirao S. Patil),  
CHAIRMAN