Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/143/2013

Applicant : Shri Vijay Bajiraoji Shende,

Plot No. 82 C, Vaishali nagar,

Samata Niwas, Nagpur: 17.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,

The Superintending Engineer,

(Distribution Franchisee),

MSEDCL, NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri. Shivajirao S. Patil

Chairman,

2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar,

Member,

3) Shri B.A. Wasnik, Member Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 18.9.2013.

- 1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 29.7.2013 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).
- 2. The applicants' case in brief is that on 20.5.2013, new meter is installed and it is fast. Therefore bill may be revised.

Page 1 of 3 Case No. 143/13

- 3. Non applicant M/s. SPANCO denied applicant's case by filing reply dt. 19.8.2013. It is submitted that meter of the applicant is tested by acucheck and it is found O.K. Grievance application may be dismissed.
- 4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.
- 5. Spot inspection report Dt. 12.8.2013 shows that there is following load of the applicant namely,

a) Water Motor - 1 No.
b) Cooler - 1 No.
c) Freezer - 1 No.
d) CFL - 18 Nos.
e) Fan - 5 Nos.
f) T.V. - 1 No.
g) '0' lights - 2 Nos.

Consumer has total 8 rooms in house. 4 rooms are in use and 4 rooms are found locked at the time of inspection.

6. During the course of arguments applicant personally told the Forum that he had installed another sub-meter and due to this installation of sub meter, original meter is running fast. Therefore it is the fault of the applicant that he erroneously and incorrectly installed sub meter in such a manner that main meter started running fast and hence applicant is responsible for his own mistake and not the non applicant. Considering the consumption trend of the applicant it appears that consumption recorded is

Page 2 of 3 Case No. 143/13

correct and proper and it is never excessive. We find no force in grievance application and application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order:-

ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/(Shri B.A. Wasnik) (Adv.Subhash Jichkar) (ShriShivajirao S.Patil)
MEMBER / MEMBER CHAIRMAN
SECRETARY

Page 3 of 3 Case No. 143/13