

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s  
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum  
Nagpur Zone, Nagpur**

---

**Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/160/2015**

Applicant : Shri Hanuman R. Kamble,  
12, Samta Nagar,,  
Nagpur : 440026.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,  
The Superintending Engineer,  
(Distribution Franchisee),  
MSEDCL,,  
NAGPUR.

---

Applicant :- In Person.

Respondent by 1) Shri Rody, Nodal Office.  
2) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.

---

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,  
Chairman.

2) Mrs. V.N.Parihar,  
Member / Secretary.

---

**ORDER PASSED ON 28.10.2015.**

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 13.10.2015 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).

2. Applicant's case in brief is that he does not agree with acucheck report and requested for replacement of meter. Being aggrieved by the order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. applicant approached to this Forum.

3. Non applicant, i.e. M.S.E.D.C.L. denied applicant's case by filing reply Dated 21.10.2015. It is submitted that there is no necessity to replace the meter. Therefore Learned I.G.R.C. rejected the grievance application.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused record.

5. During the course of arguments applicant argued that since April 2015 to August 2015, he received excessive bills. We have carefully perused CPL of the applicant. In April 2015, consumption was 110 units, May 2015 – 303 units, June 2015 – 191 units, July 2015 – 205 units, August 2015 – 257 units. It is noteworthy that in the month of April 2015, there was 'locked' status and average billing for 110 units. In May 2015, there was actual reading and consumption is shown as 303 units, but it is for 2 months and credit of Rs. 535.17 and 36.61 is already given to the applicant. It means monthly it was about 151 units, so far as April 2015 and May 2015 is concerned. Therefore during the entire period, in no month consumption exceeded 237 units. If we peruse consumption trend of the applicant in the month of April 2014, consumption was 176 units, May – 2014 – 186 units, June 2014 – 190 units, July 2014 – 158 units and August 2014 – 296 units. In October 2013 – 260 units. Therefore there is similar trend of consumption. Record shows that

there is sufficient connected load. Even there are two T.Vs. If consumption of the applicant in 2014 and 2015 is compared, the rise in consumption is only 10% which can not be considered as excessive. After all, it depends on the use of electrical equipments.

6. We find no reason to interfere in the order of Learned I.G.R.C. There is no scope for revision of the bill and grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order :-

### ORDER

- 1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-  
(Mrs. V.N. Parihar)  
MEMBER/  
SECRETARY

Sd/-  
(Shivajirao S. Patil),  
CHAIRMAN