Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Zone, Nagpur

Case No. CGRF(NZ)/215/2015

Applicant : Shri Siddhesh N. Ambade

02, Ambade Layout, Near Nara Bus stand

Nagpur-14.

: Nodal Officer, Non-applicant

The Superintending Engineer,

(D/F.) NUC, MSEDCL,

NAGPUR.

Applicant : In person.

Respondent by 1) Shri N.P.Larokar, Nodal Office.

2) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.

: 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil, Quorum Present

Chairman.

2)Mrs. V.N.Parihar, Member, Secretary

ORDER PASSED ON 22.01.2016.

- 1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 01.12.2015 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Redressal Commission (Consumer Grievance Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).
- 2. Non applicant, denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 21.12.2015.
- 3. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused record.

4. As per complainant of application in IGRC bill for Sept-2015 is excessive. In Sept-2015 consumption is shown 339 units. However in June-2013 also his consumption is 262 units. In June-2014 – 260 units. Therefore consumption is depend on the utilization and use of electricity.

5. It is noteworthy that in the past in same months consumption of the applicant is shown very less. In January-2013 consumption is 91 units, in February-2013 – 78 units, March-2013 – 64 units, in September-2014 – 78 units, in November-2014 – 72 units, in December-2014 – 19 units, in February-2015 – 81 units & in March-2015 – 62 units. It is doubtful. At the time of spot inspection report shows that there are 6 fans, 16 CFLs, 6 Tube lights, 3 zero lights, 1 TV, 1 Setup box, 1 Freeze, 1 Cooler, 1 Motor pump & 1 Mixture. Considering this connected load, such less consumption is practically impossible therefore it appears that applicant has join hands with meter reader and attempted to show less consumption in the past.

6. Meter of the applicant is tested in the laboratory on 01-12-2015 and it is found O.K. Therefore electricity consumed by the applicant is correctly recorded by the meter and hence bill can not be revised.

7. Order of IGRC is legal and proper therefore needs no interference.

8. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence the following order.

ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-(Mrs.V.N.Parihar) MEMBER/SECRETARY sd/-(Shivajirao S. Patil), CHAIRMAN