

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/153/2015

Applicant :1) Shri V.C. Rocque,
Sadar Extension Area,
NAGPUR.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(Distribution Franchisee),
MSEDCL,
NAGPUR.

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/154/2015

Applicant :1) Shri Kristarajan Rocque
Sadar Extension Area,
NAGPUR.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(Distribution Franchisee),
MSEDCL, NAGPUR.

Applicant :- In Person.

Respondent by 1) Shri Rody, Nodal Office.
2) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,
Chairman.

2) Mrs. V.N.Parihar,
Member / Secretary.

COMMON ORDER PASSED ON 28.10.2015.

1. In both these cases No. 153 – 15 and 154 – 15, respective applicants filed their grievance application before this Forum on 1.10.2015 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).

2. Facts of both the cases are similar and identical. Therefore it is desirous to decide both the applications by common order.

3. Applicants case in brief is that he received excessive bills. Being aggrieved by the order passed by I.G.R.C. Dt. 14.9.2015, he approached to this Forum.

4. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply Dated 21.10.2015. It is submitted that as per order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. meter is tested on 26.9.2015 and it is found O.K. Therefore bills can not be revised.

5. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused record.

6. Record shows that in case No. 154/15, applicant Shri Krishtarajan Rocque died in the year 1980, but even then there is no change of name. Legal representatives of the deceased applicant are directed to file application for change of name.

7. Spot inspection report shows that there is tremendous load in both these matters. There are 33 rooms. Building is ground + 2 floors. There are 18 fans, CFL – 20, Tube lights – 20, T.V. – 7, Set Top Box – 7, 6 freezers, 5 coolers, 2 motors, 1 A.C., 2 geezers, 2 washing machines, 2 computers, 3 Kitchen exhaust fans & 2 inverters. In spite of this fact, there is very less consumption shown in CPL. Since January 2013 to December 2013, consumption was less than 340 units per month. In April 2014 – 217 units, May 2014 – 185 units, June 2014 – 119 units, July 2014 – 198 units, October 2014 – 104 units, November 2014 – 197. Till September 2015, in all months it was less than 865 units. Applicant admitted that there are 6 tenants residing in this premises along with their family members means six families of tenants. Considering this aspect, it appears that possibility of joining the hands with Meter Reader can not be ruled out. Under no circumstances, there can be such type of less consumption considering the heavy connected load.

8. Meter is tested in the laboratory and it is found O.K. Therefore bills can not be revised. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order:-

ORDER

- 1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-
(Mrs. V.N. Parihar)
MEMBER/
SECRETARY

Sd/-
(Shivajirao S. Patil),
CHAIRMAN