

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/279/2014

Applicant : Late Jagannath B. Shahu,
Thr:- User Radheshyam J. Shahu,
Near Nanumal Building,
Bajeriya Chouk,
Nagpur.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(Distribution Franchisee),
MSEDCL,
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,
Chairman.

2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar
Member.

3) Shri Anil Shrivastava,
Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 24.12.2014.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 5.11.2014 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. The applicant's case in brief is that he is receiving excess bills. Therefore bills should be revised.

3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 17.11.2014. It is submitted that as per order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. meter was tested in laboratory in presence of applicant and was found O.K. Application deserves to be dismissed.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. Applicant argued that in one building on the ground floor, there is commercial shop and separate commercial meter whereas on first floor there is residential house and separate residential connection. There is no complaint regarding commercial meter. Complaint is only regarding residential connection. CPL shows that consumption trend is similar and identical. It is true that in the month of April 2014 consumption is shown 463 units but it is for 2 months because in March 2014 there is lock status. It is true that in July 2014 consumption is shown 614 units but it is for 2 months as there was lock status in June 2014. Therefore it is not excessive.

6. On request of the applicant old meter was replaced in October 2014 and new meter is installed. Old meter is tested in meter testing laboratory on 20.10.2014 and it is found O.K. Therefore consumption recorded by the meter is the consumption utilised by the

applicant. Therefore we find no force in the grievance application and application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order : -

ORDER

- 1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-
(Anil Shrivastava)
MEMBER
SECRETARY

Sd/-
(Adv. Subhash Jichkar)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(Shivajirao S. Patil),
CHAIRMAN