Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Zone, Nagpur

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/152/2015

Applicant : Shri Anil Pundlik Gajbhiye,

Plot No. 165, Awale Babu Chouk,

Lashkaribagh, Nagpur: 400 009.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,

The Superintending Engineer, (Distribution Franchisee).

MSEDCL,, NAGPUR.

Applicant : Shri Ashwin Meshram.

Respondent by 1) Shri Rody, Nodal Office.

2) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.

Quorum Present: 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil, Chairman.

> 2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar, Member.

3) Mrs. V.N.Parihar, Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 26.10.2015.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 30.9.2015 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal

Page 1 of 3 Case No.152/15

Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).

- 2. Applicant's case in brief is that he is receiving excessive bills and therefore bills be revised.
- 3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply Dated 16.10.2015. It is submitted that grievance application is false and deserves to be dismissed.
- 4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused record.
- 5. It is noteworthy that date of connection is 14.8.2014. In September 2014, there was RNA status and actual billing was done in October 2014 for 284 units, but it was for 2 months and credit of Rs. 242.14 was already given to the applicant. Since November 2014, there is reasonable consumption of the applicant i.e. November 2014 184 units, December 2014 190 units, in January 2015 223 units, in February 2015 135 units, March 2015 236 units, in April 2015 181 units, in May 2015 192 units, in June 2015 175 units, in July 2015 209 units, in August 2015 231 units. Therefore it is not excessive consumption in any of the months. Considering the connected load, it is but natural to have such type of consumption.
- 6. Meter testing report (MMG) Dt. 5.8.2015 shows that meter is tested by SNDL and it is found O.K. Therefore bill can not be revised.

Page 2 of 3 Case No.152/15

7. Order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. appears to be legal and proper and needs no interference. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence we proceed to pass following order:

ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-(Mrs. V.N. Parihar) MEMBER/ SECRETARY Sd/-(Adv. Subhash Jichkar) MEMBER Sd/-(Shivajirao S. Patil), CHAIRMAN

Page 3 of 3 Case No.152/15