

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s  
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum  
Nagpur Zone, Nagpur**

---

**Case No. CGRF(NZ)162/2016**

Applicant : Shri Chunnilal C.Chelani  
Nr.Pappu Medical Stores  
Jaripatka,Nagpur-14.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,  
The Superintending Engineer,  
(D/F.) NUC,MSEDCL,  
NAGPUR.

---

Applicant :- In person.

Respondent by 1) Shri Vairagade, EE, Nodal Office  
2) Shri Tekam, Nodal Office.  
3) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.

---

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,  
Chairman.  
  
2) Shri N.V.Bansod  
Member  
  
3) Mrs. V.N.Parihar,  
Member, Secretary

---

**ORDER PASSED ON 16.11.2016.**

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 05.10.2016 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).
2. Non applicant, denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 24.10.2016.

3. Forum heard arguments of Non-applicant and perused record.
4. Main grievance of the applicant that there is excessive consumption in June-2016 i.e. 1427 units. However it is noteworthy that though there is very heavy connected load consumption is shown in some months very less. Therefore it appears that applicant must have join hands with the meter reader and attempted to reduce the consumption in some of the months. Surprisingly in January-2013 consumption shown 91 units, February-2013 – 82 units, March-2013 – 73 units, Sept-2013 – 79 units, October-2013 – 105 units, January-2014 – 86 units, February-2014 – 76 units, Sept-2014 – 88 units, October-2014 – 89, December-2014 – 65 units, January-2015 – 92 units, April-2015 – 51 units, May-2015 – 93 units, June-2015 – 52 units. Considering the spot inspection report and the connected load it is impossible to have such less consumption.
5. As per direction of the forum, meter photos are produced on record and these meter photo shows that if meter photos are considered, there will be recovery against the applicant and as there is more consumption in meter photos than CPL. Applicant must be thankful to SNDL that though there is more consumption in meter photos, less consumption is shown in CPL. In such circumstance, in fact of applicant should have remain silent. He again approached the forum. It is not justified and proper.
6. For the first time meter is tested by Accu-check and it is found O.K. At the second time meter is tested in the laboratory of SNDL and it is found O.K. and there is sufficient load. Therefore consumption utilized by applicant is correctly recorded by the meter.

7. Therefore in our opinion order passed by learned IGRC is legal and proper and needs no interference. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed.

8. Hence we proceed to pass the following order.

**ORDER**

Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-  
(N.V.Bansod)  
**MEMBER**

sd/-  
(Mrs.V.N.Parihar)  
**MEMBER/SECRETARY**

sd/-  
(Shivajirao S. Patil),  
**CHAIRMAN**