

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NZ)161/2016

Applicant : Mrs.Geeta G.Pandey
46, New Snehnagar
Wardha Rd.,Nagpur-15.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Executive Engineer,
O&M Dn.,MSEDCL,Congresnagar
NAGPUR.

Applicant :- In person.

Respondent by 1) Shri K.P.Bhise,EE, Congr.Dn.
2) Shri K.N.Bhasme, AEE, Regent S/Dn.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,
Chairman.

2) Shri N.V.Bansod
Member

3) Mrs. V.N.Parihar,
Member, Secretary

ORDER PASSED ON 16.11.2016.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 05.10.2016 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).
2. Non applicant, denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 17.10.2016.
3. Forum heard arguments and perused record.

4. We have carefully perused grievance of the applicant, in the light of say non-applicant and the connected load. It appears that in June-2016 reading was not taken properly but that mistake was rectified later on. MRI was taken and on that basis reading of June-2016 is correctly charged as 1385 units. It is noteworthy that there is very heavy connected load of the applicant. There are 5+5=10 Rooms. Presently 3 families are residing. There are 10 fans, 10 Tube lights, 5 CFL, 2 T.V., 1 setoff box, 4 ACs, 1 Cooler, 1 Washing Machine, 1 Motor pump, 1 Mixture, 1 Aqua guard, 2 LED bulbs, 1 freeze of double door. The consumption is always depends on electricity utilization. If 4 ACs and 1 cooler is continuously used, it is but natural to have more consumption.

5. It is noteworthy that meter is tested in the laboratory of MSEDCL and found O.K. Therefore consumption utilized by applicant is correctly recorded by the meter. As per direction of the forum C.D. of meter reading is also produced on record and it is consistent with CPL.

6. We have carefully perused order passed by learned IGRC it is perfectly legal and justified therefore needs no interference. We find no substance to the application deserve to be dismissed.

7. We proceed to pass the following order.

ORDER

Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-
(N.V.Bansod)
MEMBER

sd/-
(Mrs.V.N.Parihar)
MEMBER/SECRETARY

sd/-
(Shivajirao S. Patil),
CHAIRMAN

