

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NZ)158/2016

Applicant : Shri Shalikram D.Mohade
Manav Nagar, Tekanaka
Nari Rd.,Nagpur-26.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(D/F.) NUC,MSEDCL,
NAGPUR.

Applicant :- In person.

Respondent by 1) Shri Vairagade, EE, Nodal Office
2) Shri Tekam, Nodal Office.
3) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,
Chairman.

2) Shri N.V.Bansod
Member

3) Mrs. V.N.Parihar,
Member, Secretary

ORDER PASSED ON 14.10.2016.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 29.09.2016 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).
2. Non applicant, denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 13.10.2016.

3. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused record.
4. It is true that in August-2016 consumption is shown 741 units for 2 months because in July-2016 meter was changed. Credit of Rs.2767.95 p.s. is already given to the applicant in August-2016. We have also perused past consumption of the applicant. It is noteworthy that in May-2014 also consumption of the applicant was 756 units, in May-2015 consumption of the applicant was 328 units. In April-2016 consumption was 303 units, in May-2016 consumption was 455 units. It shows that there is similar trend of consumption.
5. According to the applicant there are 4 Rooms & 2 families are residing and there are 2 Fans, 4 CFL, 3 Tube lights, 2 Zero lights, 2 T.Vs, 1 Set of box, 1 Fridge, 1 Motor pump & 1 Cooler. Therefore there is sufficient load. On the basis of connected load consumption of the applicant comes 330 units p.m. but even then learned IGRC had given more benefit to the applicant. As per order dated 19-09-2016 passed by IGRC in case No.906/2016 it is directed to revise the bills of the applicant from April2016 to August-2016 considering the monthly average of 290 units p.m. Accordingly bill is already revised and credit of Rs.3871/- is given to the applicant and it will be reflected in the next month. Therefore IGRC had already taken most liberal view and revised bill of the applicant. In our opinion order passed by IGRC is legal and proper and therefore needs no interference and grievance application deserves to be dismissed.
6. We proceed to pass the following order.

ORDER

Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-
(N.V.Bansod)
MEMBER

sd/-
(Mrs.V.N.Parihar)
MEMBER/SECRETARY

sd/-
(Shivajirao S. Patil),
CHAIRMAN
Case No.158/2016

