Mahrashtra State Electricity Distribution Co.Ltd. CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM M.S.E.D.C.Ltd. Nagpur ZONE, " Prakash Bhavan " Link Road, Sadar, Nagpur – 440 001	
	Case No.: CGRF (NZ) 153/ of 2016
Applicant	: Smt.Namita Narendrakishor Pashine Plot No.28, Ramkrishana Nagar, Dighori Ghat,Nagpur-34.
Non Applicant	: Nodal Officer, The Superintending Engineer, (D/F) NUC, M.S.E.D.C.L. Nagpur.
Applicant :- In person.	
Respondent by	1) Shri Vairagade, EE, Nodal Office 2) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.
<u>Quorum</u>	<u>Present</u> : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil, Chairman.
	2) Shri N.V.Bansod Member
	3) Mrs. V.N.Parihar, Member, Secretary

## ORDER PASSED ON 05.10.2016.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 17.09.2016 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).

2. Non applicant, denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 28.09.2016.

3. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused record.

4. Applicant argued that her parents are residing on ground floor and she alone is residing on first floor. Previously there was common meter but as the consumption was more, separate connection was taken in the name of applicant for connected load of first floor. There is no partition deed or any other relevant documents on record. There are 2 connections in same premises, though there is no special reason. Therefore it appears that this meter is taken in the name of applicant, only to get slab benefit.

5. Record shows that meter was tested in the lab of MSEDCL and it was fast having a error 22.62%. We have carefully presumed order passed by IGRC dated 24/8/2016 in Case NO.828/2016 . We have also presumed connected load. IGRC directed to revise bill of June-2016 (bill for 3 months) and July-2016 considering the monthly average of 550 units and give credit of balance units along with credit on the DPC/Interest in the ensuring bill of the applicant.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and evidence of record in our opinion order passed by IGRC is legal and proper therefore needs no interference. We find no merits and no substances in the present application and application deserves to be dismissed.

7. Hence forum proceed to pass the following order

## <u>ORDER</u>

1. Application is dismissed.

Sd/-

sd/-

sd/-

(N.V.Bansod)

(Mrs.V.N.Parihar) MEMBER/SECRETARY (Shivajirao S. Patil), CHAIRMAN

Case no.153/2016