

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s  
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum  
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

---

**Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/132/2015**

Applicant : Shri Hariom S. Bhagchandani,  
Flat No. 107, Kukreja Nagar,  
Jaripatka,  
Nagpur: 440026.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,  
The Superintending Engineer,  
(Distribution Franchisee),  
MSEDCL,  
NAGPUR.

---

Applicant :- Shri Pradeep Manohar Dhole.

Respondent by 1) Shri Rody, Nodal Office.  
2) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.

---

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,  
Chairman.

2) Shri Anil Shrivastava,  
Member / Secretary.

---

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,  
Chairman

2) Shri Anil Shrivastava,  
Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 14.9.2015.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 17.8.2015 under Regulation 6.5 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. Applicants case in brief is that in June 2015 received excessive bill and bill may be revised. Non applicant issued notice u/s 56 of Electricity Act 2003 and intends to disconnect the supply. Therefore interim relief be granted directing non applicant not to disconnect the supply.

3. Non applicant denied applicants case by filing reply dated 25.8.2015. It is submitted that meter is tested in meter testing laboratory and found O.K. Therefore bill can not be revised. Legal notice u/s 56 of E.A. 2003 was issued to the applicant. Therefore non applicant is entitled to disconnect the supply.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. During the course of hearing interim relief application under section 8.3 was decided by this Forum as per

interim order dated 20.8.2015 and case was fixed for final hearing.

6. So far as final hearing is concerned record shows that as per directives given by Learned I.G.R.C. meter of the applicant is tested in the laboratory. Meter testing report Dt. 9.7.2015 shows that meter is O.K. Meter photos of the applicant's meter are produced on record. Reading shown in meter photos tallied with entries in CPL. Therefore consumption utilized by the applicant is the consumption recorded by the meter. Hence bill can not be revised. Furthermore, period of April May & June is summer season. Spot inspection report shows that there are two coolers, 2 A.Cs, 2 Geezers and other connected load. If there is excessive use of such electrical equipments, it is but natural to have excess consumption. Therefore there is no scope for revision of bill. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order :-

### ORDER

1. Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-  
(Anil Shrivastava)  
MEMBER  
SECRETARY

Sd/-  
(Shivajirao S. Patil)  
CHAIRMAN.