

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/126/2015

Applicant : Shri Ropomal Santoshmal,
User Naresh B. Adwani,
Block No. 2/5, Bhambani Complex,
Nagpur.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(Distribution Franchisee),
MSEDCL,
NAGPUR.

Applicant :- Shri Naresh Adwani.

Respondent by 1) Shri Rody, Nodal Office.
2) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,
Chairman.

2) Shri Anil Shrivastava,
Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 11.9.2015.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 11.8.2015 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal

Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. Applicants case in brief is that he is receiving excessive bills. Therefore bills be revised. Being aggrieved by the order passed by I.G.R.C. he approached to this Forum.

3. Non applicant denied applicants case by filing reply dated 25.8.2015. It is submitted that meter of the applicant was tested in the laboratory on 29.5.2015 and found O.K. Therefore bill can not be revised.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. Record shows that in October 2014, old meter is replaced and new meter is installed. Meter is tested in the laboratory and it is found O.K. Therefore consumption utilized by the applicant is recorded by the meter.

6. During the course of hearing applicant admitted that there is absolutely no earthing to the house of applicant. Even in rainy season anybody touches hand to the wall immediately gets the shock. Needless to say that to maintain proper earthing is the bounden duty of the consumer. Applicant admitted in clear terms during the course of arguments that there is absolutely no earthing to the house. It is even not his contention that earthing is not proper, but he told in clear

terms that there is absolutely no earthing and therefore anybody can get the shock if his hand touches the wall and specially in rainy season. Therefore even if for the sake of arguments it is presumed that applicant is getting excess bill, it is due to not providing proper earthing by the applicant for which distribution franchisee can not be blamed. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order :-

ORDER

- 1) Grievance application is dismissed..

Sd/-
(Anil Shrivastava)
MEMBER
SECRETARY

(Shri Shivajirao S. Patil)
CHAIRMAN.