،	Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co.Ltd. CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM M.S.E.D.C.Ltd. Nagpur ZONE, ' Prakash Bhavan " Link Road, Sadar, Nagpur – 440 001
	Case No.: CGRF (NZ) 133/2016
Applicant	: Shri Vasant V.Meshram 634,Habib Nagar Nagpur.
Non Applicant	: Nodal Officer, The Superintending Engineer, (D/F) NUC, M.S.E.D.C.L. Nagpur.
Applicant :- In person.	
Respondent by	1) Shri Vairagade, EE, Nodal Office 2) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.
Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,	

Chairman.

2) Shri N.V.Bansod Member

3) Mrs. V.N.Parihar, Member, Secretary

ORDER PASSED ON 01.10.2016.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 11.08.2016 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).

2. Non applicant, denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 26.08.2016.

Page no.1 of 3

Case no.133/2016

3. According to applicant there is problem of only one month bill of June 2016 for 1782 units for 4 months.CPL shows that in March-16, April-16 and May-16 status is shown meter changed respectively. Therefore bill of June -16 is for 4 months.

4. Spot inspection report dated 13/7/2016 in the meter testing report is on record.

5. At the time of hearing we enquired to the applicant about connected load and he told totally different connected load than load shown in meter testing report dated 13/6/2016.

6. Therefore another spot inspection report dated 6/9/2016 is produced on record by SNDL. There is no consistency in between load shown in 2 different spot inspection report and connected load told by the applicant.

7. Record shows that in some of the months, very less consumption is shown i.e.in Jan.14-46 units, Feb.14-54 units, Feb.15-41 units, March.15-69 units, Dec.15-59 units. Considering the connected load such less consumption is impossible.

8. Therefore it appears that applicant might have join hands with the meter reader and therefore only such a less consumption is appearing. This practice is not proper.

9. We have carefully presumed order passed by IGRC dated 25/7/2016 in case No.688/2016.

10. As per this order, IGRC ordered to replace the meter and revise the bill of June-16 (Bill for 4 months) considering the monthly average of 295 units and give credit of balance units along with credit on the DPC interest in ensuing bills.

11. We have consider connected load of the applicant and as per calculation connected load is 1.2 KW.

12. Therefore it is necessary to revise the concern bill considering monthly average of 270 units.

Page no.2 of 3

13. Therefore we proceed to pass the following order.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. Application is partly allowed.
- 2. Order of IGRC is modified and cancelled.
- 3. Non applicant is directed to revise the bill of the applicant for the month of June-2016 (Bill for 4 months) considering monthly average of 270 units and give credit of balance units along with credit of DPC and interest to the applicant.
- 4. Suitable interest free installment, if required by the applicant, shall be given to the applicant for making payment of revised bill.
- 5. Non applicant is directed to comply within 30 days from date of receipt of this order.

Sd/-

sd/-

sd/-

(N.V.Bansod) MEMBER (Mrs.V.N.Parihar) MEMBER/SECRETARY (Shivajirao S. Patil), CHAIRMAN