

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/242/2014

Applicant : Late Shri Ganpat M. Khante,
Thr:- Shri Sanjay G. Khante,
Ganjipeth Circle No. 1, Mahal,
Nagpur : 14.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(Distribution Franchisee),
MSEDCL, N.U.C.,
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,
Chairman.

2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar
Member.

3) Shri Anil Shrivastava,
Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 20.11.2014.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 22.9.2014 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. The applicant's case in brief is that since June 13 applicant received excessive bills. Therefore bills may be revised. Being aggrieved by the order of I.G.R.C. applicant approached to this Forum.

3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 4.10.2014. It is submitted that meter was tested by acucheck on 17.8.2014 and it is found O.K. As per order of Learned I.G.R.C. Dt. 12.11.2013 meter was replaced, old meter was tested in the laboratory in presence of applicant and it is found O.K. Therefore bills can not be revised.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. It is noteworthy that as per order dated 7.10.2014 it was ordered that meter be tested in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L. and to file meter testing report on record. Executive Engineer, Testing Division (U), MSEDCL, Nagpur filed testing report Dt. 13.10.2014 on record to the effect that meter is O.K. Therefore consumption recorded by the meter is the consumption utilised by the applicant. Previously SNDL had also tested the meter in meter testing laboratory and as per report Dt. 22.9.2014 meter is O.K. It is also corroboration to hold that meter is O.K. Spot inspection report shows that in the same building of 4 floors, there are total 3 meters vide 1) Consumer No. 410011642091 (Meter in dispute in this case) 2) Consumer No. 410015089982 and 3) Consumer No. 410018888771. Applicant argued that it is a 4 storied building. On the first floor, his uncle Shri Devendra G. Khante is residing. On the second floor his another uncle Rajendra G. Khante is residing. On 4th floor, tenant of Rajendra is residing and applicant is residing on 3rd floor.

Therefore it is also possible that connected load of somebody else other than applicant might have diverted on this meter.

6. For these reasons, grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order : -

ORDER

- 1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-
(Anil Shrivastava)
MEMBER
SECRETARY

Sd/-
(Adv. Subhash Jichkar)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(Shivajirao S. Patil),
CHAIRMAN