## Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur

## Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/107/2015

Applicant : Mohd. Yasin Mohd. Yusuf Khan,

Plot No.4/5, Tirupatinagar, Mankapur, Sadikabad Colony,

Nagpur : 400 030.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,

The Superintending Engineer, (Distribution Franchisee),

MSEDCL,, NAGPUR.

Applicant : In person.

Respondent by 1) Shri Rody, Nodal Office.

2) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.

Quorum Present: 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil, Chairman.

> 2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar Member.

3) Shri Anil Shrivastava, Member / Secretary.

## ORDER PASSED ON 13.8.2015.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 29.6.2015 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal

Page 1 of 3 Case No.107/15

Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).

- 2. Applicant's case in brief is that in the month of May 2015 he received excessive bill of 698 units. Therefore bill be revised.
- 3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply Dated 13.7.2015. It is submitted that meter is tested in meter testing laboratory of SNDL and it is found correct. Therefore bill can not be revised.
- 4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.
- 5. Spot inspection report shows that there are six rooms. It is rather surprising to note that though there are six rooms, previously very less consumption is shown i.e. in January 2012 44 units, in February 2012 41 units, in March 2012 35 units, in April 2012 15 units, in May 2012 87 units, in July 2012 96 units, in September 2012 64 units, in November 2012 34 units, in December 2012 21 units, in February 2013 47 units, in July 2013 60 units. Therefore these readings are suspicious and possibility of joining hands with meter reader can not be ruled out.
- 6. It is noteworthy that in the month of April 2014 reading is 461 units and in May 2014 355 units. In April 2015 also reading was 477 units. Therefore if applicant could have consumed excessive energy in the month of May 2015 due to various possible

Page 2 of 3 Case No.107/15

reasons like celebration of function, construction or repairs of the house or keeping tenant or giving supply illegally to others. It is noteworthy that meter is tested in the laboratory of SNDL and it is found O.K. Therefore bill can not be revised. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order:

## ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-(Anil Shrivastava) MEMBER SECRETARY Sd/-(Adv. Subhash Jichkar) MEMBER Sd/-(Shivajirao S. Patil), CHAIRMAN

Page 3 of 3 Case No.107/15