

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s  
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum  
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

---

**Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/228/2014**

**Applicant** : Sayyad Anwar Sayyad Haidar,  
Sundar bagh, Masjid, Gaddigudam,  
Shukrawari, Ram Coolers, Mahal,  
Nagpur.

**Non-applicant** : Nodal Officer,  
The Superintending Engineer,  
(Distribution Franchisee),  
MSEDCL, N.U.C.,  
NAGPUR.

**Quorum Present** : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,  
Chairman.  
  
2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar  
Member.  
  
3) Shri Anil Shrivastava,  
Member / Secretary.

**ORDER PASSED ON 7.11.2014.**

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 11.9.2014 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. The applicant's case in brief is that he received excessive bill of October 2013. His application is rejected by I.G.R.C. Therefore he approached to this Forum.

3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 26.9.2014. It is submitted that meter of the applicant is replaced as per order of Learned I.G.R.C., old meter is tested in the laboratory on 6.11.2013 and it is found O.K. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. Grievance of the applicant is only regarding October 2013. Reading of this month is 300 units. It is noteworthy that in April 2013 also reading of the applicant was 376 units. Therefore this bill is not excessive. Even in June 2014 reading is 332 units. In July 2014 reading was 429 units. Therefore it is a similar trend of consumption of the applicant. Sufficient connected load is shown in spot inspection report dated 25.9.2014. Meter is tested in the laboratory and as per report dated 6.11.2013 meter is O.K. Consumption recorded by the meter is consumption utilised by the applicant and hence bill can not be revised.

6. Record shows that since 17.10.2013 applicant did not pay bills but mean while in May 2014 he made part payment of Rs. 5000/-. Since then there is no payment. It is also not proper on the part of the applicant to enjoy the electricity without payment of any amount. In our opinion,

there is no scope for revision of bill and grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order :-

**ORDER**

- 1) Grievance application is dismissed.

**Sd/-**  
**(Anil Shrivastava)**  
**MEMBER**  
**SECRETARY**

**Sd/-**  
**(Adv. Subhash Jichkar)**  
**MEMBER**

**Sd/-**  
**(Shivajirao S. Patil)**  
**CHAIRMAN**