## Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Zone, Nagpur

## Case No. CGRF(NZ)/113/2016

Applicant : Shri Mohan D.Bhasme

At.Jamni,Po.Goji Tq.Hinganghat Dist.Wardha.

Non-applicant: Nodal Officer,

The Executive Engineer,

O&M Division, MSEDCL, Hinganghat.

\_\_\_\_\_

Applicant's Representative :- Shri Betal,

Respondent by:- 1) Shri Pawade, E.E., Hinganghat Dn.

2) Shri Awachat, Dy.E.E., Hinganghat (R) S/Dn.

\_\_\_\_\_

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S.Patil CHAIRMAN

CHAIRIVIAN

2) Mrs.V.N.Parihar Member/Secretary

3) Shri N.V.Bansod, Member

------

## ORDER PASSED ON 02.09.2016.

- 1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 15.07.2016 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).
- 2. Non applicant, denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 12.08.2016.
- 3. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused record.
- 4. Applicant applied for agricultural connection on 15-04-2011 but demand was

Page no.1 of 2 Case no.113/2016

issued on 27-04-2011. Applicant paid amount of demand on 29-04-2011. According to applicant, Panchayat Samittee also paid amount of demand but applicant can not tell date of payment of demand by Panchayat Samittee. Therefore record shows that date of payment is 29-04-2011 paid by the applicant. Applicant file present grievance application for refund of amount of demand note Rs.4300/-. In demand amount alleged to be deposited by Panchayat Samittee date of payment is 29-04-2011. But present grievance application is filed on 15-07-2016. According to Regulation 6.6 of the said Regulation "The Forum shall not admit any grievance unless it is filed within two (2) years from the date on which cause of action has arisen". Therefore present grievance application is barred by limitation and deserves to be dismissed.

- Secondly there is no documentary evidence on record to show that Pachayat Samittee paid amount of demand. Applicant produced one list but it is the list of only beneficiaries. In this list name of the applicant is shown at serial No.15. In the column of payment receipt number of payment is the receipt number of payment by the applicant. There is no receipt number & date of the payment made by Pachayat Samittee. Therefore it is clear that it is only applicant who paid amount of demand. Pachayat Samittee did not pay it as applicant had already paid. For this reason also amount of demand Rs.4300/- can not be refunded to the applicant.
- 6. Therefore we hold that grievance application deserves to be dismissed.
- 7. Hence the following order.

## ORDER

Grievance application is dismissed.

(N.V.Bansod)

MEMBER

Sd/-

sd/-(Mrs.V.N.Parihar)

MEMBER/SECRETARY

sd/-

(Shivajirao S. Patil), CHAIRMAN Case no.113/2016

Page no.2 of 2