

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s  
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum  
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

---

**Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/104/109/110/2013**

**Case No. 104/13**

Applicant : Smt. Mehrunnisa Hanif Pathan/  
Shri Farkat Pathan, Plot No. 55,  
Rathod Layout,  
Nagpur.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,  
The Superintending Engineer,  
(Distribution Franchisee),  
MSEDCL,  
NAGPUR.

**Case No. 109/13**

Smt. Ayesha Sharif Sheikh,  
Plot No. 68, Paloti School, Suraj Nagar,  
Ring Road,  
Nagpur.

**Case No. 110/13**

Shri Kanchan G. Kunjwani, 9/15,  
Archana Apartments, H.B. Town,  
Bhandara Road,  
Nagpur.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,  
The Superintending Engineer,  
(Distribution Franchisee),  
MSEDCL,  
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri. Shivajirao S. Patil  
Chairman,  
2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar,  
Member,  
3) Shri B.A. Wasnik,  
Member Secretary.

COMMON ORDER PASSED ON 19.8.2013.

1. The applicant in Case No. 104/13 Smt. Mehrunnisa Hanif Pathan, filed her present grievance application before this Forum on 20.6.2013.
2. The applicant in Case No. 109/13 Smt. Ayesha S. Sheikh filed her grievance application before this Forum on 25.6.2013 and
3. The applicant in Case No. 110 / 13 Shri Kanchan G. Kunjwani filed his grievance application before this Forum on 28.6.2013.
4. All these grievance applications are filed under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

5. As the facts of these cases are similar and identical and as same issue is involved in all these cases, Forum is deciding all these cases by common order.

6. Case of applicants in all these 3 cases is that their meter is fast. Therefore they are receiving excessive bills. They requested M/s. SPANCO for testing the meter. M/s. SPANCO tested their meter by acucheck and told that meter is O.K. But they are not satisfied and therefore they requested to test the meter in testing laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L. and to revise the bill.

7. Separate replies are filed by non applicant in all these three cases and denied the case of the applicant. It is submitted that meter of the applicant was tested by acucheck and it is found O.K. Therefore consumption recorded by the meter is the consumption actually utilized by the applicant and hence bill can not be revised.

8. In all these three cases Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record carefully.

9. During the course of hearing it was ordered by the Forum in all these three cases that meter of the applicant be tested in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L. in presence of the applicant and Member / Secretary of the Forum and to submit the report. Accordingly meter of the applicant was tested in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L. Dy. Executive Engineer (Testing) Urban Circle,

MSEDCL, Nagpur filed testing report on record to the effect that meter is O.K.

10. Therefore it is clear that meter of the applicant is O.K. and hence the consumption recorded by the meter is the actual consumption utilized by the applicant and therefore bill can not be revised.

11. For these reasons we find no force in all these three applications and applications deserve to be dismissed.

12. Resultantly Forum proceeds to pass following order :-

### ORDER

- 1) Grievance application in Case No. 104/13, 109/13 & 110/13 are hereby dismissed.

Sd/-  
(Shri B.A. Wasnik)  
MEMBER  
SECRETARY

Sd/-  
(Adv.Subhash Jichkar)  
MEMBER

Sd/-  
(ShriShivajirao S.Patil)  
CHAIRMAN