Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Zone, Nagpur

Case No. CGRF(NZ)115/2016

Applicant : Shri Chandrasen D.Gaikwad

Sambhaji Kasar Rd., Itwari

Nagpur-26.

Non-applicant: Nodal Officer,

The Superintending Engineer,

(D/F.) NUC, MSEDCL,

NAGPUR.

Applicant :- In person.

Respondent by 1) Shri Vairagade, EE, Nodal Office

2) Shri Tekam, Nodal Office.

3) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,

Chairman.

2) Shri N.V.Bansod Member

3) Mrs. V.N.Parihar, Member, Secretary

ORDER PASSED ON 24.08.2016.

- 1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 22.07.2016 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).
- 2. Non applicant, denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 16.07.2016.

Page 1 of 2 Case No.115/2016

- 3. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused record.
- 4. According to applicant his bill for April, May, June-2016 is excessive. Applicant previously filed case No.1/2016 before this forum on 04-01-2016. At that time also applicant complaint about working of the meter and that meter was tested in the laboratory of MSEDCL & it was O.K. Therefore that case was dismissed by this forum on 05-02-2016.
- 5. Immediately on 22-07-2016 applicant filed present matter about working of new meter. We have compared consumption trend of the applicant. In April-2014 his consumption was 364 units, in May-2014 424 units, in June-2014 403 units. Similarly in July2014 433 units, April-2015 -333 units, May-2015 339 units, June-2015 365 units, July-2015 355 units, August-2015 385 units. Even in winter season in November-2015 his consumption was 332 units. Therefore consumption of the applicant in April-2016 305 units, May-2016 328 units & June-2016 447 units is not excessive. There is same consumption pattern. Spot inspection report also shows that there is heavy load of 2 KW. Considering this connected load 425 units per month should have been the minimum consumption. After all electricity consumption is depend on use & utilization of electrical equipments. We find no force in the grievance application and it deserves to be dismissed.
- 6. Hence the following order.

ORDER

Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/- sd/- sd/-

(N.V.Bansod)

MEMBER
Page 3 of 3

(Mrs.V.N.Parihar)
MEMBER/SECRETARY

(Shivajirao S. Patil), CHAIRMAN Case No.102/2016