

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/081/2015

Applicant : Shri Haribhau Gurav,
User:- Dinesh Dighve,
E/13/07, Police Line Takli,
Nagpur : 400 013.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(Distribution Franchisee),
MSEDCL,,
NAGPUR.

Applicant :- In person.

Respondent by 1) Shri Rody, Nodal Office.
2) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,
Chairman.

2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar
Member.

3) Shri Anil Shrivastava,
Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 11.6.2015.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 15.4.2015 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer

Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).

2. Applicant's case in brief is that Police Qtr. Of Takli Police Line is allotted to him in September 2014. He received excessive bill during the period December 2014 to March 2015. Therefore bills may be revised. Being aggrieved by the order passed by I.G.R.C. he approached to this Forum.

3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply Dated 30.4.2015. It is submitted that meter is tested in the lab on 23.2.2015 and it is found correct. Therefore bill can not be revised. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed.

4. Forum heard the arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. We have carefully perused consumption trend of the applicant. It is noteworthy that even in the past also, there was more than sufficient consumption i.e. April 2012 – 287 units, March 2012 – 394 units, June 2012 – 293 units, July 2012 – 351 units, August 2012 – 228 units, September 2012 – 318 units, April 2013 – 357 units, May 2013 – 336 units, June 2013 – 337 units, July 2013 – 274 units, August 2013 – 256 units, September 2013 – 251 units, November 2013 – 332 units. It is true that quarter is allotted to the applicant since September 2014. However, it is the contention of the applicant that there are only 2 rooms in the quarter and therefore consumption is excessive. However, consumption of the applicant is 177 units in December 2014, 203

units in January 2015, 131 units in February 2015, and 165 units in March 2015. In all the period of applicant, in every month the consumption is less than 200 units, but in same 2 rooms, consumption of previous occupant who was occupying the quarter was more than 300 units. Therefore we find no force in the contention of the applicant that in 2 rooms quarter, such type of consumption i.e. less than 200 units per month is excessive.

6. Meter is tested in meter testing laboratory and found O.K.

7. We find no force in grievance application. Order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. is legal & proper and therefore needs no interference. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order :-

ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-
(Anil Shrivastava)
MEMBER
SECRETARY

Sd/-
(Adv. Subhash Jichkar)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(Shivajirao S. Patil),
CHAIRMAN