Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/076/2015

Applicant : Shri Balkrishna K. Ramteke,

Habib Nagar, Teka,

Near Surendra Kirana Stores,

Nagpur: 17.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,

The Superintending Engineer, (Distribution Franchisee),

MSEDCL, NAGPUR.

Appellant: By Shri Ashok Kashinath Ramteke.

Respondent by 1) Shri Rody, Nodal Office.

2) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.

Quorum Present: 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil, Chairman.

- 2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar Member.
- 3) Shri Anil Shrivastava, Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 28.5.2015.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 1.4.2015 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer

Page 1 of 3 Case No.076/15

Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).

- 2. Applicant's case in brief is that he received excessive bills. Therefore meter be tested & bill be revised. Being aggrieved by the order passed by I.G.R.C. he approached to this Forum.
- 3. Non applicant denied applicants by filing reply Dt. 15.4.2015. It is submitted that meter was tested by acucheck on 20.12.2014 and it is found O.K. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed.
- 4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.
- 5. During the course of hearing as per order Dt. 16.4.2015, it was ordered by the Forum that meter be tested in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L. and to file meter testing report. Accordingly, meter is tested in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L. Executive Engineer, Testing Division (Urban), MSEDCL, Nagpur filed testing report on 11.5.2015 on record. As per this meter testing report meter is O.K. Therefore consumption utilized by the applicant is correctly recorded by the meter. Hence bill is not excessive. Therefore bill can not be revised.
- 6. Furthermore, applicant argued that two families are residing in the house and there is also tenant. There are total 9 rooms and sufficient load.

Page 2 of 3 Case No.076/15

7. Therefore there is no scope for revision of the bill. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence Forum proceeds to pass following order:

ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-(Anil Shrivastava) MEMBER SECRETARY Sd/-(Adv. Subhash Jichkar) MEMBER Sd/-(Shivajirao S. Patil), CHAIRMAN

Page 3 of 3 Case No.076/15