

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/159/2014

Applicant : Shri Sunilkumar D. Bajaj,
Block No. S – 'A' & 'B',
Mangalwari Commercial Complex,
Bajaj Wing, Sadar,
Nagpur.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(Distribution Franchisee),
MSEDCL, N.U.C.,
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,
Chairman.

2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar
Member.

3) Shri Anil Shrivastava,
Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 5.8.2014.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 30.6.2014 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. The applicant's case in brief is that since April 2013 to April 2014, because his premises was under non use, till the date of replacement of meter, he had '0' consumption and therefore bill needs to be revised. He filed grievance application before I.G.R.C. vide Case No. 452/14 Dt. 20.6.2014. It was decided giving some credit to him

but he is not satisfied with this order and therefore being aggrieved by the said order he approached to this Forum.

3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 18.7.2014. It is submitted that they have complied with order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. and no further relief can be granted to the applicant. Application deserves to be dismissed.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. During the course of hearing non applicant was directed to produce meter testing report and photo of the meter. Accordingly non applicant had complied. During the course of arguments the applicant argued that he is a developer. There are total 300 blocks in his premises. Out of these 300 blocks in 125 blocks tenant and other occupants are residing. So far as disputed premise is concerned his tenant vacated in April 2013 and since then there was no consumption. However, photos of the meter show otherwise. Applicant did not produce rent agreement or any other document to prove when tenant vacated much less in April 2013.

6. Record shows that meter of the applicant is tested and it is found O.K. It is apparent from the record and admitted fact that applicant is a builder and developer. There are 300 blocks in his building. Tenant and occupants are residing in 125 blocks. Even if for the sake of argument, if the concerned tenant vacated the block in April 2013, every possibility of use of this block even for the purpose of storing the building material, other articles, or stay of watchman or

servant can not be ruled out. As the meter is O.K. bill can not be revised.

7. We have carefully perused order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. It is perfectly legal and valid and needs no interference. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence the following order :-

ORDER

- 1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-
(Anil Shrivastava)
MEMBER
SECRETARY

Sd/-
(Adv. Subhash Jichkar)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(Shivajirao S. Patil),
CHAIRMAN