

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/107/2012

Applicant : Late Dattopant Joshi, Thr:-
Shripad D. Joshi,
At Plot No. 73, Shirdi Nagar,
Post Ayodhyanagar,
NAGPUR.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(Distribution Franchisee),
N.U.C., MSEDCL, NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri. Shivajirao S. Patil
Chairman,

2) Smt. Kavita K. Gharat
Member Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 11.12.2012.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 20.10.2012 under Regulation 6.5 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. Applicant's case in brief is that the applicant received electricity bill of Rs. 12360/- for the month of August 2012. In the house of the applicant, there are only 3 lights, 2 fans & T.V. Therefore this bill is excessive. The applicant complained to non applicant but no relief was granted. In the month of September 2012 applicant received a bill of Rs. 13277/-. In October 2012, the applicant received bill of Rs. 14520/-. The applicant complained to non applicant about excessive bills and therefore his meter was replaced. Since installation of new meter the applicant is receiving correct bills. Therefore the applicant claimed to revise excessive bills of the previous meter.

3. Non applicant filed reply on record and submitted that name of the consumer is Shri Dattopant Joshi since 10.6.1989 and therefore applicant Shri Shripad Joshi is not consumer within the meaning of Section 2(15) of the Electricity Act 2003. The meter of the applicant became defective in June 2012 and therefore it was replaced on 7.6.2012. On examination of the report of replacement of meter, it is found that old meter was defective and therefore excessive consumption was shown wrongly in the bill of August 2012. Therefore bill of August 2012 was corrected and revised bill on average basis was issued.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the parties and perused record.

5. During the course of arguments, below reply of the non applicant, Officers of M/s. SPANCO, so also applicant in person gave in writing that “as per revised bill, amount of Rs. 5440/- only is due and outstanding against the applicant (including last bill of October 2012) and this position is admitted by the applicant and therefore case should be disposed off”. Therefore it is amounting to common pursis filed by both the parties thereby compromising the matter. Therefore it is clear that grievance of the applicant is fully satisfied. Hence the following order :-

ORDER

- 1) Grievance of the applicant is fully satisfied and matter is compromised between the parties. Therefore grievance application is hereby disposed off.

Sd/-
(Smt.K.K.Gharat)
MEMBER
SECRETARY

Sd/-
(ShriShivajirao S.Patil)
CHAIRMAN