Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Zone, Nagpur	
<u>Case No. CGRF(NZ)/206/2015</u>	
Applicant	: Shri Abhimanyu B.Chawla 557-A, New Colony Nagpur.
Non–applicant	 Nodal Officer, The Superintending Engineer, (D/F.) NUC,MSEDCL, NAGPUR.

Applicant :- In person.

Respondent by 1) Shri Rody, Nodal Office. 2) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.

> <u>Quorum Present</u> : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil, Chairman.

> > 3)Mrs. V.N.Parihar, Member, Secretary

ORDER PASSED ON 07.01.2016.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 19.11.2015 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).

2. Non applicant, denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 21.12.2015.

3. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused record.

Page 1 of 3

Case No.206/2015

4. Record shows that previously also applicant filed similar type of case No.110 / 2015 and it was decided as per order dated 28-08-2015. It appeared that now applicant is making similar type of allegation again and again.

5. We have carefully perused order passed by IGRC dated 30-09-2015. In this order direction is given to investigate the matter thoroughly and ascertain the actual damages caused to electrical gadgets and arrange to pay repairing cost. That process is still pending. Therefore application is pre-mature and not tenable at law.

6. Applicant produced a paper signed by Technician of the company Shri Jitendra Udaramji Sathawane and Shri Sandip Jagan Dhongade. However non applicant produced affidavit of these 2 employees alleging that applicant had confined them with the help of mob of 10 to 12 persons and obtained their signatures. These writing alleged to have given by employees of SNDL appears to be obtained by applicant in threat. Therefore it is not legal and proper.

7. Non applicant submitted that applicant produced bills of T.V. dated 21-08-2015 on the letter head of Silver System but in this shop TVs are not available for sale. Therefore it appears that applicant had manipulated the bills. For this reason also we hold that bills produced by the applicant are bogus.

8. There is no evidence of record to show that electrical equipments of the applicant are burnt due to fault of non applicant. Therefore applicant is not entitled for compensation.

9. In previous case No.110/2015 order passed on 28-08-2015 it was proved that burning of electrical equipments, at that time Rs.70,000/- compensation was granted to the applicant for incident on 28-04-2015. Applicant thought that it is a best way to extract amount from SNDL. Therefore he prepare another imaginary story for dated 19-08-2015 i.e. after 4 months and again alleged that equipments are burnt. In our opinion this entire story of incident dated 19-08-2015 appears to be imaginary and false and only to extract amount alleging same ground.

Page 2 of 3

Case No.206/2015

5. In our opinion grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence the following order.

ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-

(Mrs.V.N.Parihar) MEMBER/SECRETARY (Shivajirao S. Patil), CHAIRMAN

sd/-

Page 3 of 3

Case No.206/2015