

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/145/2014

Applicant : Mohd. Qamar Mohd. Salim Sk.,
User:- Mohd. Abezur Sheikh,
Plot No. 1978, Praveshnagar,
Wanjra,
Nagpur.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(Distribution Franchisee),
MSEDCL, N.U.C.,
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,
Chairman.

2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar
Member.

3) Shri Anil Shrivastava,
Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 22.7.2014.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 12.6.2014 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. The applicant's case in brief is that he is receiving excessive bills. Meter was tested by acucheck and declared faulty and also referred for laboratory testing. The meter was replaced in October 2013 but was not tested in meter testing laboratory and bills

were not revised. Therefore applicant filed application to I.G.R.C. which was disposed off vide order dated 26.4.2013. Being aggrieved by the said order the applicant filed present grievance application.

3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 1.7.2014. It is submitted that grievance application deserves to be dismissed.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. We have carefully perused the order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. Learned I.G.R.C. ordered and directed SNDL to revise the bill of the applicant from June 2013 to October 2013 considering monthly consumption of 523 units and to give credit of balance units in the ensuing bill of applicant along with credit of DPC and interest. All detail particulars of this calculation are specifically pointed out by Learned I.G.R.C. in this order. Considering the entire record, in our opinion order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. is correct, proper and legal & needs no interference. Therefore grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence the following order :-

ORDER

- 1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-
(Anil Shrivastava)
MEMBER
SECRETARY

Sd/-
(Adv. Subhash Jichkar)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(Shivajirao S. Patil),
CHAIRMAN