

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/189/2014

Applicant : Shri Sitaram R. Prasad,
Flat No. 102, W-3, Rajat Sankul,
Ganesh peth,,
Nagpur : 18.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(Distribution Franchisee),
MSEDCL, N.U.C.,
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,
Chairman.

2) Shri Anil Shrivastava,
Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 4.10.2014.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 6.8.2014 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. The applicant's case in brief is that he received excessive bills from June 2013. His grievance application is dismissed by I.G.R.C. Therefore he approached to this Forum.

3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 16.8.2014. Meter of the applicant was tested in the laboratory in presence of applicant on 16.1.2014 and it is found O.K. Grievance application may be dismissed.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. According to the applicant, his consumption in June – July – August 2013 is excessive. However, CPL shows that his consumption 219 units in June 2013, 262 units in July 2013 and 218 units in August 2013. Applicant argued that he is employee of W.C.L. at Wani. He weekly attended his Nagpur House and residing here in holidays. He also argued that his parents are residing for 15 days in July 2014. Therefore consumption of July 2014 was 250 units. Therefore it appears that parents of the applicant are also attending his Nagpur house intermittently and residing here. It is also possible that in holidays much less, on all holidays applicant might have halted at his Nagpur house. Month of June and July are peak summer season in 2013. Therefore this much consumption is not appearing excessive. Meter is tested in presence of applicant and it is found O.K. Therefore there is no scope for revision of bills and grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order :-

6. We have carefully perused order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. It is perfectly correct, legal and valid and needs no interference. We find no substance in the present application and application deserves to be dismissed. Hence Forum proceeds to pass following order :-

ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-
(Anil Shrivastava)
MEMBER
SECRETARY

Sd/-
(Adv. Subhash Jichkar)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(Shivajirao S. Patil),
CHAIRMAN